



www.montclima.eu

Natural hazards and disaster risk management in mountainous regions of southwest Europe: **MONTCLIMA Project**

Technical sheet

| Title | Natural hazards and disaster risk management in mountainous regions of southwest Europe: MONTCLIMA Project |
|------------------------|--|
| Authorship/Affiliation | Isabella Fandiño ^{1,2,5} , Yago Cipoli ^{1,2,5} , Leonardo Furst ^{1,2,5} , Benjamin Komac ³ , Juan Terrádez ⁴ , Manuel Feliciano ^{1,5} |
| | ¹ Centro de Investigação de Montanha (CIMO), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal |
| | ² Centro de Estudos do Ambiente e do Mar (CESAM), Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal |
| | ³ Andorra, Recerca e Inovació, Andorra |
| | ⁴ Observatorio Pirenaico del Cambio Climático (OPCC), Spain |
| | ⁵ Laboratório Associado para a Sustentabilidade e Tecnologia em Regiões de Montanha (SusTEC), Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal |
| General Coordination | Manuel Feliciano ^{1,5} Juan Terrádez Mas ⁴ Eva Balaguer ⁴ |
| Image and Design | Leonardo Furst, Yago Cipoli , Isabella Fandiño |
| Funding | This document was prepared within the framework of the MONTCLIMA project - Climate and natural hazards in the SUDOE mountains", co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) by means of the Interreg SUDOE programme (SOE3/P4/E0874) |
| DOI | https://doi.org/10.34620/montclima_project |
| Handle | http://hdl.handle.net/10198/26628 |
| Year of Publication | 2023 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF TABLES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| 1. | OBJEC | TIVE | 7 |
|----|---------|---|----|
| 2. | INTRC | DUCTION | 8 |
| 2. | .1 THE | MOUNTAINS IN THE SUDOE REGION | 8 |
| 2. | .2 DEF | INITION AND CLASSIFICATION OF NATURAL HAZARDS | 11 |
| | 2.2.1 | WILDFIRES | 12 |
| | 2.2.2 | EROSION | 14 |
| | 2.2.3 | GRAVITATIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS | 15 |
| | 2.2.4 | DROUGHT | 16 |
| | 2.2.5 | FLOODING | 18 |
| 2. | .3 CLIN | /IATE CHANGE AND NATURAL HAZARDS | 19 |
| 2. | 4 DIS | ASTER RISK | 20 |
| | 2.4.1 | DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT | 23 |
| | 2.4.2 | MULTI-HAZARD APPROACH | 24 |
| | 2.4.3 | TRANSNATIONAL OVERVIEW | 25 |
| 2. | .5 GOO | DD PRACTICES IN DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT | 26 |
| | 2.5.1 | WILDFIRES | 27 |
| | 2.5.2 | EROSION | |
| | 2.5.3 | DROUGHT | |
| | 2.5.4 | FLOODING | |
| | 2.5.5 | AVALANCHES | 41 |
| | 2.5.6 | ROCKFALLS | 44 |
| 3. | METH | ODOLOGY | |
| 3. | 1 DIS | ASTER DATABASE ANALYSIS | 45 |
| 3. | 2 PRC | DJECT ANALYSIS – EUROPEAN DATABASES | 45 |
| 4. | DATA | ANALYSIS | |
| 4. | 1 OVE | RVIEW OF DISASTERS IN THE SUDOE REGION | 47 |
| | 4.1.1 | PORTUGAL | 49 |
| | 4.1.2 | SPAIN | 51 |
| | 4.1.3 | FRANCE | 53 |
| | 4.1.4 | ANDORRA | 55 |
| 4. | .2 GOO | DD PRACTICES IN THE SUDOE REGION | 56 |
| | 4.2.1 | WILDFIRES | 59 |
| | 4.2.2 | EROSION | 59 |
| | 4.2.3 | GRAVITATIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS | 60 |
| | 4.2.4 | DROUGHT | 60 |
| | 4.2.5 | FLOODING | 60 |
| | 4.2.6 | CLIMATE CHANGE | 60 |
| 5. | CONC | LUSION | |
| 6. | REFER | ENCES | 58 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1. Mountainous massifs from south-western Europe, representing Portug | gal, | | | |
|---|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Spain, Andorra, and France | 8 | | | |
| Figure 2 . Typical natural hazards in mountain regions [16] | | | | |
| | | | | |
| [47] | . 17 | | | |
| Figure 5. Natural hazard, vulnerability and exposure combined create the risk c | of a | | | |
| disaster. Disaster risk can be reduced by working on these variables. The exposure a vulnerability are influenced by socioeconomic processes while natural hazards influenced by climate. Adapted from [72, 71]. | and are . 21 | | | |
| Figure 6 . Natural hazards subgroups and their main types. These types are present | ted | | | |
| by CRED at the Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) https://www.emdat.be/classification. *Erosion as added to the Hydrological hazard o to its definition and being one of the hazards studied by The Montclima project | in due . 23 | | | |
| Figure 7. Disaster Risk Management: Phases, Activities, Components and Approact | nes | | | |
| | . 24 25 | | | |
| Figure 8. Multi-nazard approach (Rappes et al., 2010). | , 25 nial | | | |
| management [78] | . 26 | | | |
| Figure 10 . Summary of type of disasters for the SUDOE region between 1980 and 20 |)20. | | | |
| | . 47 | | | |
| Figure 11. Most representative disasters in terms of damage | . 48 | | | |
| Figure 12. Overview of disaster events reported in Portugal. | . 50 | | | |
| Figure 13. Disaster events reported in Spain | . 52 | | | |
| Figure 14. Disaster events reported in France | . 54 | | | |
| Figure 15. Disaster events reported in Andorra (1980-2020). | . 56 | | | |
| Figure 16. Total number of projects and its classification. | . 57 | | | |
| Figure 17. Classification of projects by country | . 57 | | | |
| Figure 18. Natural risk management strategies in the SUDOE region | . 58 | | | |
| Figure 19. Organic land area in Portugal, Spain and France | . 61 | | | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1. | General classification of disasters according to the EM-DAT database [34]. 22 |
|----------|---|
| Table 2. | Prescribed burning practices in the Mediterranean area |
| Table 3. | Measures for SDRM according to the relevant ecosystem. Adapted from [95] |
| | |
| Table 4. | Overview of Water Conservation Measures. Modified [98] |
| Table 5. | Project Selection Criteria |
| Table 6. | Relationship between the number of disasters and the number of victims 48 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

People have inhabited mountainous regions for generations, living with the dangers posed by drought, erosion, wildfires and landslides [1]. Currently, perceptions of natural hazards have improved, but increasing numbers of people visiting, traveling or inhabiting mountainous regions have experienced higher levels of risk as well as an increase in vulnerability in these territories [1].

The understanding of hazards and consequent disasters is based on mutual knowledge of the human and biogeophysical dimensions [2], and how impact ecosystem. these the The mountainous regions are relatively active geophysically and hydrologically, structure a great landscape diversity, encompassing diverse ecosystems and many species of fauna and flora. Due to the heterogeneity of mountainous regions, there are several natural hazards, which are highlighted by the fragility of their ecosystems, the low population density, the growing abandonment of the territory, and the composition of their social systems. Added to all these characteristics, several studies have configured that climate change has interfered with the frequency and intensity of disasters [3]. In this way, managing natural risks and adapting to climate change are essential for ecosystems and the protection of populations.

In south-western Europe there are mountainous areas that encompass part of the territorial space of Portugal, Spain, Andorra and France [4]. The main mountain ranges are the Iberian, the Pyrenees, the French massifs and the Alps.

Therefore, the main objective of this report is to provide a state-of-the-art overview of natural hazards in the SUDOE mountain region and current strategies used to deal with disasters, as well as some effects of climate change. We carry out this report through two main parts: i) review of the EM-DAT database to identify the main disasters in the countries that comprise the SUDOE region; ii) analysis of projects in databases of European funding programs. In addition, we also use academic databases as support tools to search for terms and definitions directly related to our study focus.

We identified that disasters in the region have increased by approximately 26% in the last four decades, with meteorological disasters being the most representative, with 16, 33 and 87 events recorded in Portugal, Spain and France, respectively. Heatwave was the deadliest event in the period studied, being responsible for 95%, 76% and 68% of deaths in Spain, Portugal and France, respectively. However, in Andorra, snow avalanches are the hazard that has caused the most deaths in the country, with 18 deaths since 1975.

Based on these projects, we highlight that 72% of selected projects work with partner countries. In particular, wildfires receive great attention, since most of the good practices addressed this type of hazard through prescribed burning, guided herbivory and early detection of forest fires.

Mountains vulnerability to climate change

"Mountains are among the most sensitive ecosystems to climate change and are being affected at a faster rate than other terrestrial habitats. Climate change impacts are a major threat to their integrity and the services they provide, and to the large often vulnerable populations that depend on them."

UNESCO. "Climate change impacts on mountain regions of the world" (Impacts du changement climatique sur les régions montagneuses à travers le monde), 2013, p.4-5.



1. OBJECTIVE

To analyze state-of-the-art of management practices for the four main risks (drought, floods, wildfires and erosion) in the mountainous areas of the southwest region (SUDOE).

Action 1.1 Study on the current state of practices with a transnational approach in the SUDOE space











2. INTRODUCTION

2.1 THE MOUNTAINS IN THE SUDOE REGION

The mountainous regions of SUDOE (**Figure 1**), cover about 53% of the territorial space and directly support more than 23% of the population in these countries – Portugal, Spain, Andorra and France [4]. In the SUDOE region, the main mountain ranges are the Alps, the Iberian, the Pyrenees and the French massifs.



Figure 1. Mountainous massifs from south-western Europe, representing Portugal, Spain, Andorra, and France.

Southwest Europe has complex relief features, the altitude difference across the entire region is over 3400m and land use/cover types include forests, agricultural lands, pastures, scrubland and urban lands, with cropland being the dominant type. Forests also occupy a large percentage of the area, and are located mainly in the northern and mountain regions. The climate is seasonal temperate with hot and dry summers inland,



wetter and cooler summers along the coasts, and cool and wet winters. Most precipitation occurs during the winter. Overall, the south is dry and hot and the north is relatively wet and cool [5].

In Portugal, geographical mountain areas cover approximately 18% of the national territory, in particular the large mountainous masses dominate, above all, the northern and central regions of the country. Of the twelve National and Natural Parks that constitute the country's protected areas, around 75% correspond to mountain areas [6]. In Spain, areas above 800m occupy around 35% of the country's territory, 37% of its territory is wooded forest area (forests), and 19% is treeless forest area (bushes, sandbanks [7]).

In France, mountain areas include the massifs of the Alps, the Pyrenees, the Central, the Vosges, the Jura, Corsica and the overseas regions. Approximately 58% of the mountain surface is covered by forest and semi-natural environments while 38% is occupied by agricultural land. In addition, tourism represents a significant part of the activity of most mountain territories, with spin-offs estimated at 20 billion euros (2018-2019), with the French offer in terms of ski areas one of the largest in the world [8].

In French territory there are officially three administrative borders for the mountains, namely mountain areas covered by the urban planning law, less favoured mountain agricultural areas and massif borders [9].

Andorra has a mountainous territory divided by a network of narrow valleys and high peaks. The average height is around 2,000 meters, with peaks over 2,850 meters. The vegetation is composed of a rich mosaic of species and the higher slopes of the mountains are mainly grasslands and conifers.

The mountainous areas have a great diversity of species and habitats, mainly due to the local environmental conditions related to the altitude and slope favouring biodiversity. They are crucial for the existence and sustainability of human societies, playing an essential role in global and regional climates [10] and they have significant influence beyond their geographic areas because they are the source of most rivers and act as cradles, barriers, and corridors for a high number of species. About 50% of the global biodiversity hotspots are found in mountainous regions [11].

In addition to supplying much of the continent's water and sources of electricity, the mountains provide opportunities for leisure and tourism based on natural attributes and local heritage, being centres of diversity, both biological and cultural. However, in the European territorial expansion, mountainous regions are considered disadvantageous locations due to topographic restrictions, specific economic activities,

climatic conditions, and peripheral conditions [12]. Moreover, their ecosystems are particularly vulnerable to climate change [10–13].

Climate change is known to deeply affect the biosphere and the cryosphere, with direct and indirect impacts on global resources. Mountain ecosystems are sensitive to these changes, and the fast increase in temperature over the last decades may have high impacts on the mountain habitats [14, 15].

Mountains act as key elements in regulating the hydrological cycle. However, with changes in precipitation regimes, the frequency and intensity of extreme events and disasters may be increased, having a massive impact on water availability and distribution, resulting in more mega fires, severe droughts, flash floods, and intense debris flow. Part of the effects of climate change in the hydrological cycle in the mountains may be explained due to the replacement of areas with a high albedo that reflects sunlight (areas covered with ice and snow) by areas with low albedo (dark rocks, vegetation). Also, as they are highly sensitive, mountainous geosystems and ecosystems experience more frequently the extreme events that result from climate change, with significant consequences both in mountainous areas and downstream [15].

Additionally, mountains present a series of natural hazards that affect life and development of communities in these regions. For this reason, there is a need for a social, economic, and environmental understanding of how policies concerning natural hazards can serve as a basis for future planning and policies aimed at increasing the resilience of these areas [14].



2.2 DEFINITION AND CLASSIFICATION OF NATURAL HAZARDS

Natural hazards are natural characteristics, inherent to all biomes, which are dependent on several geophysical and environmental variables and have the potential to cause harm to society as casualties, damage on buildings and structures, interruption of services and activities [16]. Mountain regions have various ecosystems, and this characteristic also allows mountain regions to present multiple natural hazards that may be worsened by climate change (**Figure 2**).



Figure 2. Typical natural hazards in mountain regions [17].

Taking this into account, the importance of an all-hazard analysis approach relating to climate change impacts emerges in international organizations [18] highlighting the relevance of this analysis to provide more effective adaptation and mitigation measures, both for the present and for the future.

Generally, a particular hazard approach is taken to assess the consequences of natural hazards and their relationship to climatic conditions (e.g. drought, floods and, erosion). This unique risk analysis allows you to determine the individual risk and the processes involved for a given geographic area over a given period of time, not providing an

integrated assessment of the multiple risks that can be triggered by different forces, both natural and anthropogenic [19–20].

For example, mountainous areas are exposed to different impacts and consequences resulting from climate change, such as drought, wildfires and erosion [21, 22]. This highlights and emphasizes the importance of all these hazards being considered simultaneously during analysis and management, bringing their dependencies and factors together to provide an overview for that particular location.

A comprehensive approach must be applied to the assessment of disaster risks and relate them to the climate, in order to consider all aspects that contribute to the increase or aggravation of hazards, vulnerability and exposure in a multi-hazard perspective [23].

2.2.1 WILDFIRES

Europe has been frequently affected by large wildfires, especially Mediterranean countries [24]. Spain, Portugal, France, Italy and Greece account for 85% of all European burned area. Particularly, in 2017, large wildfires occurred in the south of France, Italy, Portugal and Spain associated with unusual droughts and heatwaves. These events caused damage in the region, with Portugal being the most affected country [25].

In this context, based on data obtained from PORDATA regarding the burned area (**Figure 3**), 2017 was the most severe and tragic year for the three countries in the region in the last five years (2015-2020), in all, 744533 hectares burned, of which 73% were burned in Portugal, with more than 21000 fires recorded that same year. Also, Spain suffered the devastation of about 178234 hectares, of the 39202 fires in the region, 35% were registered in Spanish territory. Compared with Portugal and Spain, France recorded the lowest number of wildfires (4403), which caused 26378 hectares of burned area.





There has been a decreasing trend in the number of fires and burned areas annually since 1980, indicating that fire prevention and control practices have been effective. Despite that, the number of larger and destructive fires increased, indicating that climate change has favoured the number of extreme wildfires [26].

As shown in the report *Wildfires: Sparking firesmart policies in the EU* "This new wildfire context is defined by extreme fire behaviour characterised by rapid fire spread, intense burning, long-range fire spotting and unpredictable shifts" [3, p. 11]. In light of the new

13

reality of forest and rural fires, more than ever there is a need for adaptation and to make territories resilient and capable to overcome extreme events.

The frequency and intensity of wildfires are usually dependent on climate, topography, land cover and fuel availability. It is also known that human activities have influenced the climate, promoting an increase in the severity of wildfires. Additionally, land use and management are directly linked to the amount of fuel available on the field, being the only variable possible to control for wildfire risk management [27]. Before the 1970s, most fires were limited to the amount of fuel present on the field, however, after the 1970s, the rural exodus increased the number of abandoned lands, and an increment was also observed in the number of forest plantations, which, together with prolonged dry seasons, led to more intense fires [28].

In addition to environmental factors, studies addressing relationships between socioeconomic variables and wildfires, reveal that human behaviour may affect wildfires ignition [29, 30]. Such evidence shows a need for more robust models for fire risk, which must take into account socioeconomic variables, in order to facilitate management and allow actions focused on more sensitive areas [30].

2.2.2 EROSION

Basically, soil erosion is the movement and transport of soil by the action of several natural agents, mainly water and wind and comprises the processes of displacement, entrainment, transport and deposition of soil particles [31, 32]. Although soil erosion is caused by natural agents, anthropic actions tend to facilitate and accelerate this process, especially in regions with steeper slopes, such as mountain regions [31].

In recent decades some erosion processes seem to be increasing in the SUDOE region, mainly those of shallow erosion on pasture slopes in mountain elevation zones, representative of denudation mechanics due to flooding, added to poor soil management resulting from subsequent treatments. This dynamic generally does not pose a direct threat towards natural hazards, however, considerable soil losses undermine agricultural land use, landscape aesthetics and other ecosystem services [33].

The literature review shows that few projects directly address erosion control and prevention, however, many projects address factors and other hazards that influence erosion, such as fires, droughts, nature conservation, floods and landslides. Thus, despite not being a topic addressed directly, many projects consider it secondarily. This observation also strengthens the multi-risk approach, considering the existing influence between different natural hazards.

2.2.3 GRAVITATIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS

Landslides are a significant geomorphological hazard that occurs mainly in mountainous regions, impacting lives, resources, and infrastructure. In the last decades, with the expansion of urban settlements and the development of several economic sectors, there has been an increase in construction pressure in inadequate and unfavourable areas, increasing exposure to this danger. The landslides occurred in several mountainous places in the SUDOE region, differing in geomorphological and environmental characteristics. Among them, avalanches and rockfalls are the main and most frequent types of landslides that occur in this territory [34]. Major historical disasters such as the multi-landslide event in the Plateau d'Assy region (1970) in France and events that occurred in Azagra (1874) and Felanitx (1844) in Spain, showed the vulnerabilities of the territory and how these are negatively impacted, requiring strategies to prevent and minimize impacts in the face of this threat.

Avalanches are prominent natural hazards in mountainous regions, differentiating into two types: snow avalanches and debris avalanches. Both are characterized by a large mass of loose terrestrial material, which flows down the mountain under the force of gravity [35], contributing to the landscape configuration, affecting the forest, influencing geomorphic processes and exposing the population to this danger. In addition to natural factors, such as weakness in the formation of accumulated snow and blizzards, anthropic factors such as the increase and intensity of winter sports activities and tourism influence the occurrence of these events [36]. In this section, we will cover only snow avalanches, recurrent in the SUDOE region.

Avalanches are a traditional concern in European mountainous regions, mainly in French territory, where the occurrence and frequency of events is more significant in relation to Spain or Portugal. In Portugal, the phenomenon of snow avalanches is not favourable, due to the little formation that occurs in the territory. In Spain, snow avalanches also do not pose a significant risk to the population, but with the popularization of winter sports an increasing number of people have been exposed to these dangers, causing victims due to the direct action of this event [37]. Snow avalanche events in Spain occur mainly in the mountain ranges located to the north, such as the Pyrenees [38–39], and in Cantabria bands [40]. All mountain ranges in France are subject to avalanche risks, however the occurrence is generally observed in high mountain areas [41], such as in the alpine space. The International Alpine Rescue Commission (ICAR) reports an increase in fatal accidents due to avalanches, with

around 140 deaths annually, based on data from more than 20 years in Alpine countries.

Accumulated snow, terrain and meteorology are the three main variables for triggering avalanches [42], and it is necessary to understand the interaction of these factors for the development of prevention strategies. In addition, climate change has been a widely discussed contributor in the scientific community, with climate warming being identified as one of the main triggers, influencing behavior, uncertainty, and the frequency of avalanches. Thus, forecasting changes in snow cover and avalanche events is crucial for managing and minimizing negative impacts on society, providing relevant information for the design of resilience and mitigation strategies.

The impact of **Rockfall** in the SUDOE region is significant, since much of the territory is mountainous and housing and transport routes are generally found near or on rocky slopes. The risk of rockfalls is clearly higher in areas with intense seismic activity, where earthquakes are triggering factors [43], however instabilities in rock masses in the SUDOE region are mainly affected by other mechanisms and driving forces, such as strong winds, floods, wildfires and human actions. The frequency of occurrence of these events depends on the environmental conditions and the lithostructural predisposition [44].

Rockfalls are a type of small landslide, which although they do not represent the same level of economic risk as other types of landslides, the number of people killed by rockfalls tends to be of the same order as all the other types of rock slope instability sliding [45]. The process of rockfalls differs from other types of slope instability due to its high fall speed, being of a sudden and unpredictable nature, exposing human lives and urban areas to risk, even if they are small rocks [46].

Monitoring rock walls is fraught with challenges, difficult accessibility to the site, inadequate or insufficient data collection, and incomplete understanding of areas of potential sources of falls are the most prominent factors that restrict the applicability of techniques and instruments. In this sense, improvements in the analysis of the scenarios and their variables become necessary, such as the support of a slope monitoring network in almost real time [47].

2.2.4DROUGHT

As drought affects economic and social sectors, various definitions have been developed by different disciplines. A universal definition of drought can be understood as a prolonged period of time with reduced precipitation, for one season or more,

being a natural phenomenon in all climates. Since the importance of drought lies in its impacts, we can group it by types, namely meteorological, agricultural, hydrological and, socioeconomic (**Figure 4**). The three characteristics that differentiate one drought from another are intensity, duration, and spatial extent. Deficiencies in precipitation, soil moisture and stream flow/reservoir storage lead to meteorological, agricultural and hydrological drought respectively [48].



Figure 4. Relationship between types of drought and duration of drought events from [48].

Drought has been a recurrent climate problem in Europe, not limited to specific regions. The severity and intensity observed in droughts in the 21st century and climate forecasts until 2100 indicate that a greater number of people will be exposed to periods of drought with impacts on water availability [15]. Droughts will be more frequent and longer in the European Mediterranean region and the Iberian Peninsula [49, 50] and the assessment of changes depends directly on their type and origin (meteorological, hydrological, agricultural, ecological and socioeconomic).

Droughts can cause widespread and long-term impacts on both the environment and societies, being one of the most damaging events related to the climate in terms of people affected and economic costs [51, 52]. As a result, droughts cause and trigger a series of impacts, such as wildfires [53], hydrological droughts [54] and losses in the agricultural sector [55]. In the SUDOE region it is no different, future changes in rainfall regimes coupled with an increase in temperature could have an impact on water

availability, affecting ecosystems and economic sectors such as agriculture, which are extremely important for the region's economy, representing about 2.1% of GDP in Portugal, 1.6% in France and 2.7% in Spain [56]. Previous droughts that occurred in the alpine space [57] allowed to emphasize the composition of the systematic analysis of the effects and impacts of droughts in mountainous regions, which may compromise the availability and supply of water and contribute to the spread of multiple impacts [58].

The severity and frequency of droughts have increased in recent years in Europe. Particularly in the southern part of Europe there was a decrease in soil moisture content during the summer period. Lower flows of watercourses were recorded throughout the second half of the 20th century. Furthermore, Europe's freshwater resources are under increasing stress, with a mismatch between the continuously increasing demand and the limited availability of water resources across the EU [59].

2.2.5 FLOODING

A flood is an overflow of water onto land that is normally dry, can occur in minutes or over a long period, and can last for days, weeks or longer [60]. The main types of floods include flash floods, coastal floods, river floods, urban floods and pluvial floods. A **flash flood** is usually due to heavy or excessive rain in a short period of time, (less than six hours). Flash floods can also occur even after a levee or dam has failed, or after a sudden release of water by a debris or ice jam. **Coastal floods** are caused by strong winds or storms that move towards a coast during higher above-average high tide. A **river flood** occurs when water levels overflow the top river banks due to excessive rainfall over an extended period of time [60]. **Urban floods** can occur when the drainage system in a city fails to absorb the water from rain. The lack of natural drainage can contribute to flooding. A **pluvial flood** is caused by extreme rainfall events during which flat area terrain cannot absorb rainwater, causing puddles to appear.

Climate change is expected to affect the frequency of this natural risk, intensifying and accelerating the hydrological cycle [61]. In much of French territory, floods are expected to be more frequent, while in Spain and Portugal these events have been showing a decrease in their occurrences.

Increasing losses due to flood risks have been reported for the whole of Europe [62], in particular related to large rivers. However, there is a scarcity of studies on the effects of flooding on Mountain Rivers. Based on data from EM-data, over the past decade,

there have been at least 23 flood events that have caused death in the SUDOE region between 2011 and 2020.

The management of hydrological risks is complex, and floods are events that cannot be avoided; however, these extreme phenomena can be controlled and have negative impacts minimized through a series of measures and actions. By affecting mountainous areas, floods have highlighted the vulnerability of these communities, which tends to increase with the lack of infrastructure and the authorities' capacity to mitigate the socio-economic effects of the threat, requiring a set of good practices aligned with good risk management to control its effects.

2.3 CLIMATE CHANGE AND NATURAL HAZARDS

Climate change is influenced by natural and anthropogenic factors and has attracted global attention and concern in recent decades because of the intensification and frequency of extreme events. The impacts caused on natural and anthropogenic systems can be observed worldwide, especially in communities located in areas of high vulnerability, such as the mountainous regions [63].

The scientific community is confident that the Earth's climate is changing due to the trends observed in the climate records. Human activities are identified as the main contributors to the intensification of global warming - the increasing of greenhouse gas emissions lead to the retention of infrared radiation, increasing the mean global surface temperature [64].

The increase in the mean global surface temperature is higher in mountainous regions since the rise in temperatures leads to a decrease in the areas covered by snow and ice that reflects solar radiation. Then, the original landscape is replaced by rocks and vegetation, which, unlike the original landscape, absorb solar radiation and increase the temperature of the soil. In the European Alps, during the 20th century, an increase of 2°C was observed, which is higher than the 1.4°C observed in France, and almost twice as much as in the northern hemisphere [65].

Increasing empirical evidence between climate change and extreme events such as floods, droughts and fires can be useful and necessary to improve understanding and perception of climate change risk. The climate models have several uncertainties, resulting from natural climate deviations, emissions of greenhouse gases and intrinsic errors in the models [66]. Furthermore, particularly in mountainous regions, applying climate change models is a great challenge, mainly due to the effects of topography on climate, where considerable variations in weather can be observed over short distances [67]. However, several studies present the effects of climate change on

natural hazards, mostly showing an increase in the frequency and intensity of disasters [66, 68].

Mountain ecosystems are among the most affected by climate change. The main factors are because mountains have a high thermal gradient making them more sensitive to temperature variations. In this way, mountain regions may lose their snow cover and glaciers, favouring other natural hazards such as landslides and rockfall [67]. Another critical point is the effects of mountain regions on the climate. Mountains have a strong influence on wind and rainfall patterns, on the water cycle through melting snow cover, so that climate change in mountain regions can have both local and regional effects [67].

According to the IPCC AR5, the increase in economic losses for communities is mainly related to the growing exposure of people and economic assets to climate disasters. The scientific community suggests that economic losses are mainly associated with an increase in population, development of vulnerable areas and prone to hazards and negligence in land use, however the observed increase in heavy rainfall, longer drought periods, and more intense wildfires may also have played an important role, especially when related to climate change. A recent review study [69] showed that globally, most of the analysed events of heat waves, droughts and floods were considered more likely and/or serious due to global climate change. There are signals that climate change will increase the probability of systemic failures in European countries due to extreme weather events affecting numerous sectors, leading to increasing losses. It is up to the countries to structure themselves in the face of the new measures proposed to increase their resilience and vulnerability as a society, aiming to reduce the harmful impacts of disasters that may occur.

2.4 DISASTER RISK

Disaster risk is based on vulnerability, exposure and hazard (**Figure 5**). Thus, in a region where no specific natural hazard exists, there is no risk of that hazard becoming a disaster. In the same way an event that does not affect any population is not considered a disaster, such as a tropical hurricane in the middle of the ocean. It is also highlighted that the vulnerability conditions of the local community affect how an extreme event is considered a disaster or not, such as the ability to build houses that can withstand high winds and the resilience after an extreme event [70].

Vulnerability is the state of community, system or asset characteristics and circumstances that make them susceptible to the damaging effects of a hazard [71].

Exposure can be defined as "The presence of people, livelihoods, species or ecosystems, environmental functions, services and resources, infrastructure or economic, social, or cultural assets in places and settings that could be adversely affected" [72].

Disaster can be defined as "severe alterations in the normal functioning of a community or a society due to hazardous physical events interacting with vulnerable social conditions, leading to widespread adverse human, material, economic, or environmental effects that require immediate emergency response to satisfy critical human needs and that may require external support for recovery" [73].



Disaster Risk = Hazard x Vulnerablity x Exposure

Figure 5. Natural hazard, vulnerability and exposure combined create the risk of a disaster. Disaster risk can be reduced by working on these variables. The exposure and vulnerability are influenced by socioeconomic processes while natural hazards are influenced by climate. Adapted from [73, 72].

There are several disasters, which are generally divided according to their process of origin. The most widely used classification was presented by the Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED) where disasters are divided into six subgroups: geophysical, meteorological, hydrological, climatological, biological and extraterrestrial (Table 1).

| Natural hazard subgroup | Definition | |
|--|--|--|
| Geophysical | A hazard originating from solid earth. This term is used interchangeably with the term geological hazard | |
| Meteorological | A hazard caused by short-lived, micro- to mesoscale extreme weather and atmospheric conditions that last from minutes to days | |
| Hydrological A hazard caused by the occurrence, movement, distribution of surfa and subsurface freshwater and saltwater | | |
| Climatological | A hazard caused by long-lived, meso to macro-scale atmospheric processes ranging from intra-seasonal to multi-decadal climate variability | |
| Biological | A hazard caused by the exposure to living organisms and their toxic substances (e.g. venom, mold) or vector-borne diseases that they may carry. Examples are venomous wildlife and insects, poisonous plants and mosquitoes carrying disease-causing agents such as parasites, bacteria, or viruses (e.g. malaria) | |
| Extraterrestrial | A hazard caused by asteroids, meteoroids and comets as they pass near- earth, enter the Earth's atmosphere and/or strike the Earth; changes in interplanetary conditions that affect the Earth's magnetosphere, ionosphere and thermosphere | |

Table 1. General classification of disasters according to the EM-DAT database [35].

For each of these subgroups, different types of disasters are assigned. The main types of disasters are presented in **Figure 6**. The Montclima project is focused on the natural hazards of drought, flood, wildfire and erosion. As this last one is not included in the aforementioned classification, it has been added as a hydrological hazard. It should also be noted that the scope of this review was expanded to include landslides: avalanche and rockfall.



Figure 6. Natural hazards subgroups and their main types. These types are presented by CRED at the Emergency Events Database (EM-DAT) in https://www.emdat.be/classification. *Erosion as added to the Hydrological hazard due to its definition and being one of the hazards studied by The Montclima project.

2.4.1 DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT

Disaster Risk Management (DRM) is a systematic approach to designing, implementing and evaluating strategies, policies and measures to improve our understanding of disaster risk and promote disaster risk reduction (**Figure 7**). DRM consists of two components: Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) and Disaster Management (DM). DRR focuses on strategies and practices to reduce the impact of vulnerabilities and disasters throughout society and the environment and involves prevention/mitigation activities while DM includes process of designing and implementing strategies and policies that improve preparation, response and recovery activities [74]. Four activities comprise the DRM lifecycle [75]:

- a) Mitigation: Activities to prevent damage
- b) Preparation: Early activities for the development of emergency procedures and institutional capacity of stakeholders to ensure an effective response to the impact of disasters
- c) Response: Activities to minimize deaths or losses and damage during and following a disaster
- d) Recovery: Rehabilitation (short-term) and reconstruction (long-term) activities in order to restore life support systems.



Figure 7. Disaster Risk Management: Phases, Activities, Components and Approaches [75].

The SENDAI Strategic Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 has been adopted by 187 Member States, in which a global plan is established that brings together closer collaborative efforts in disaster risk reduction. The SENDAI framework proposes four priorities to prevent new disaster risks and reduce existing ones: i) understanding disaster risk; ii) strengthening disaster risk governance to manage disaster risk; iii) investment in disaster risk reduction for resilience; iv) improving disaster preparedness to provide an effective response and better rebuild in recovery, rehabilitation and reconstruction [76].

2.4.2 MULTI-HAZARD APPROACH

Kappes et al. (2010) [77] explains that multi-hazard analysis is one of the central aspects of risk reduction. Although methodologies involving the study of risks and hazards are well developed, the multi-hazard approach presents several challenging aspects due to the different characteristics of each natural hazard. It should be noted that interactions between different natural hazards can generate another hazard or amplify their impacts. Usually, the literature presents some types of interactions between natural hazards (**Figure 8**): **Triggering** (cascading) is a hazardous event inducing one or more hazardous events in a domino effect; **amplification** (aggregation) refers to the occurrence of events simultaneously without a cause-effect relationship, which impact is amplified, being more significant than the sum of the events separately; **disposition** refers to the influence of one hazard to the general settings of another hazard altering its response to a possible trigger event [77, 78].



Figure 8. Multi-hazard approach from Kappes et al (2010) [77].

2.4.3 TRANSNATIONAL OVERVIEW

Better integrated of natural hazards management involves the collaboration of several institutions and actors at different levels so that the actions of each one complements each other. The joint management of a natural hazard is necessary because natural hazards do not respect maps; a fire will spread to wherever there is fuel. National borders are not fire barriers at all. **Figure 9** shows the levels of cooperation for natural hazards management [79].



Figure 9. Horizontal and vertical levels of cooperation in natural hazards and risk management [79].

As observed in **Figure 9**, levels of cooperation can be divided into vertical and horizontal. Horizontal collaboration refers to the interaction between different administrative areas, while vertical collaboration refers to the interaction between different levels of responsibility.

The cooperation for natural hazards management can start at a local level, involving collaboration between local actors (e.g. landowners, local authorities). However, even at this basic level, collaboration is challenging because of different land uses [80].

2.5 GOOD PRACTICES IN DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT

A good practice is a viable action or initiative that has the objective of improving the risk management of some natural hazard. Good practices allow the sharing and transfer of knowledge and learning to the general population.

In short, this document brings together knowledge and experience in risk management with the ultimate goal of achieving more effective disaster prevention and mitigation practices, but with a dynamic conception. Therefore, the good practices presented here are the result of: i) searches in the scientific literature; ii) projects executed in at least one of the countries of the SUDOE region. Giving main attention and importance to mountain territories.

2.5.1 WILDFIRES

Wildfires have affected huge areas in southwest Europe, therefore the development of wildfire management practices is important because it not only helps to minimize the occurrence of fires, but also because it plays an essential role in the conservation of forests and policy formulation. Some of the most common practices in wildfire management are modelling, prescribed burning, goat grazing and silvicultural treatments.

Livestock Grazing: In mountain regions the climate and the terrain are limiting factors for various farming activities, however, the abundance of natural pastures has made cattle farming a widely used activity [80]. Like prescribed burning, grazing is a form of biomass control, an activity that uses animals - cattle, goats - to control shrub vegetation. Several studies point out the effects of cattle ranching on plant growth, especially shrub species [81–82].

Prescribed Burning: Prescribed fire or controlled burning is described as a "careful application of fire under specified fuel and weather conditions to meet specific resource management objectives and long-term management goals, and this adds a planning aspect to traditional ways of fire use" [15, p. 5]. More precisely, prescribed burning is used to prevent and control wildfires by limiting the biomass present in the field [83]. **Table 2** shows the main prescribed burning practices according to the different vegetation types observed in the Mediterranean territory.





Chall M. MW

| Brood vegetation type | Species | Countries | Hazard reduction |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--|
| Mediterranean pine forest | Pinus canariensis, Pinus halepensis, Pinus nigra, Pinus pinaster, Pinus pinea | Portugal, Spain, France | Range or biodiversity management as secondary objectives |
| Mediterranean shrubland | Variable, but usually dominated by Cistus spp or Quercus coccifera | France, Portugal | Range and biodiversity management |
| Heathland | Ulex spp, Erica spp, Calluna vulgaris, Pterosparum tridentatum, Cytisus spp, Genista spp | Portugal, Spain | Rage management |
| Mountain shrubland and grassland | Cytisus oromediterraneus, Cytisus scoparius, Spartium junceum | France, Spain | Biodiversity management and hazard reduction as secondary objectives |
| Eucalypt plantation | Eucalyptus globulus | Portugal | Post-harvesting slash disposal |

Table 2. Prescribed burning practices in the Mediterranean area.

Source: [12, p. 3]

Firebreak and Fuel Break: Firebreak and fuel break are two widely applied techniques, which consist in the control of vegetation to stop and slow down the advance of a fire, respectively. Firebreak, is the complete removal of vegetation and organic matter to the mineral soil and can be performed using various methods including thinning, mechanical clearing, prescribed burning, slashing, mastication, mowing, plowing [84, 85]. Generally, firebreaks have a linear shape and should have a width of two to three times the height of the nearest vegetation to avoid the fire spreading across the section. They also require regular maintenance to keep their function [84].

Fuel break consists of the reduction and control of vegetation cover, favouring plant species with less biomass. In these regions, the fires have a slow advance compared to natural vegetation allowing the fire suppression teams to focus on these areas to control or extinguish the wildfire [84, 85]. This type of control can be applied in line or blocks and is less aggressive than firebreak [84].

Wildfire Mapping: Currently, fire risk models are widely used and are an excellent management tool. In addition to environmental factors, socio-economic variables may influence the appearance of wildfires, in this way, the vulnerability models can be improved by the insertion of socio-economic indicators, especially in more populated regions [30].

There are several methodologies for mapping wildfire hazard, mostly using geographic information systems associated with the multicriteria analysis to obtain better results [86, 87]. Most works use slope, slope aspect, altitude, land cover, normalized difference vegetation index, annual rainfall and annual temperature as base variables for model building [86, 87].

Modelling: In forest fire management, modelling can be carried out for different purposes: i) to determine risk assessment and ignition prediction of wildfires, ii) to calculate fire weather indexes, iii) to predict human-caused fire occurrence, iv) to identify the main factors that explain the likelihood of fire occurrence, v) to identify areas where vegetation reaches the highest level of combustibility, vi) to predict fire containment probability, vii) to investigative the effects of climate change on fire risk.

Picture by Adege from Pixabay

CERCERCES .

2.5.2 EROSION

Bioengineering techniques: Soil erosion control and slope stability problems demand solutions designed for local conditions. The adoption of heavily engineered structures may be beyond the reach of maintenance budgets for rural areas, so the application of environmentally friendly bioengineering techniques can offer much to mountainous environments [88]. Bioengineering is the use of vegetation (both live and dead plants as well as use of raw materials derived from plants) in concert with engineering structure to improve slope stability and protection. These include use of vegetation and horticultural practices, use of coir/jute netting, use of geo-textiles, retards, etc. in combination with slope modification and improved agronomic practices [89]. Yet, according to Singh et al. (2010), erosion can be checked by:

- Slope stabilization through contour wattling, mulching and planting: This technique consists of breaking the length of slope into shorter stable portions by providing contour wattles, mulching the inter wattle area and effective plantation
- Slope stabilization and erosion control by coir netting
- Slope stabilization and control of erosion through jute-geo-textiles (jute geogrid)
- Slope treatment by asphalt mulch technique of vegetative turfing: Asphalt Mulch method effectively prevents surface movements when used in combination with other techniques of landslide mitigation
- Riprap: A riprap is effective on many types of eroded slopes and landslides. The strong rip rapping embedded in the slope acts as a permeable base buttress, allowing increased resistance to failure.

Terraces: Terraces are anthropic interventions on steep slopes, forming a sequence of flat surfaces, facilitating agriculture on extremely slopes, reducing the risk of erosion and allowing better water retention in the soil [90, 91]. The most common terraces found in the Mediterranean region consist of two parts, a flat upper part intended for cultivation and a side part designed for soil containment, usually formed by a stone wall. Moreover, terrace farming is an ancient technique and considered cultural heritage in the Mediterranean [91].

Studies show that the use of terraces contributes to the reduction of erosion, improving water and soil conservation in relation to the original land [90, 91]. However, despite being a widely used technique, allowing cultivation in mountainous regions, rural exodus and changes in land use have caused many of the terraced areas to be

abandoned. After abandonment, especially in the first years, an increase in erosion rates and the risk of landslides is observed due to lack of maintenance [92, 93]. In this way, it is understood that although it is a technique that allows the reduction of the risk of erosion, its continuous maintenance is necessary to achieve the expected effects.

Universal Soil Loss Equation Models Family (rusle/usle): The most widely used model for determining erosion risk belong to the family of (R) USLE equations: Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and its family of models: the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE), the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation version 2 (RUSLE2), and the Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation (MUSLE) [94]. This group of equations are empirical models for calculating erosion risk and are the most widely used due to their flexibility, easy adaptation, accessibility to data in a way that can be applied to almost all regions of the planet [95].

Picture by Adege from Pixabay

2.5.3 DROUGHT

Healthy ecosystems, including freshwater ecosystems, can play in managing drought hazard. This includes using natural infrastructure to: reduce the chance of drought, reduce the consequences of drought on society and, sustain basic human needs such as food and water [96]. Some measures for Strategic Drought Risk Management (SDRM) are presented in the **Table 3**.

| Freshwater ecosystem element | During drought | After drought |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Catchment processes | Implement SDRM measures for critical areas set out in the plan. Maintain protection to key areas for water resource generation. Maintain landscape permeability measures. | Review and adapt protection of critical areas and measures in an SDRM plan |
| Flow regime | Maintain environmental flows for priority areas (e.g. through infrastructure operation and/or modified water abstraction). | Maintain temporary abstraction restrictions and storage operation rules until river flows return to optimal levels. Pro-actively restore ecosystems that are close to or have passed tipping points. |
| Water quality | Temporary restrictions on some types of discharge where river flow is low. Allow some types of effluent discharge, which might support maintenance of environmental flow without disproportionate damage to ecosystem. Maintain environmental flows to priority areas which are sensitive to pollution, e.g. for drinking water abstraction, species refugia. | Revert to normal effluent discharge regime once river flows return to optimal levels. Maintain temporary abstraction restrictions and storage operation rules until river flows return to optimal levels |
| Habitat | Safeguard environmental flows to and between refugia and limit pollution. Maintain riparian vegetation and prevent removal of fallen trees in priority refugia/protected areas to keep water temperature within a suitable range and create micro-habitats. Prevent conversion of dry streambeds or dehydrated wetlands into farm land. Restrict fishing and livestock access to water in priority refugia/protected areas | Maintain temporary abstraction restrictions and storage operation rules until river flows return to optimal levels. Pro-actively restore ecosystems that are close to or have passed tipping points. Revert to normal effluent discharge regime when river flows return to optimal levels. |
| Biodiversity | Implement careful ex-situ conservation, e.g. through translocation, captive breeding, seed banks | All measures outlined above. Reintroduce captive bred or translocated species providing habitat has returned to normal conditions |

Table 3. Measures for SDRM according to the relevant ecosystem. Adapted from [96].
Most good practices are aimed at predicting and monitoring any type of drought as well as irrigation and water use management. According to Sayers et al. (2016) [96], the monitoring activities should support the SDRM decision making through:

- providing data on the severity and spatial variation in drought, with data-quality statements
- ✓ synthesizing local observations into meaningful indicators
- ✓ ground trothing and assessing the credibility of the risk assessment processes.

Drought Monitoring, Early Warning, and Awareness: Models and indexes are the main methods used for drought monitoring. The creation of an index helps managers understand the main areas affected by droughts and have a forecast of the duration of the drought period. Drought indices are crucial for good management of drought periods and generally utilize a series of meteorological variables for their estimation (precipitation, temperature, radiation, relative humidity). Among the main drought indexes are the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI), the Crop Moisture Index (CPI), the Surface Water Supply Index (SWSI), and the Vegetation Condition Index (VCI). Although drought indices provide an overview of locations susceptible to droughts, their use must be accompanied by socio-economic factors to define areas of greater vulnerability [97].

Considering that droughts are slow-onset disasters, by combining models and drought indexes, it is possible to create early warning systems that allow actions to be taken before the onset or worsening of the drought. Another critical point is the education of the parties affected by drought. Teaching the local population, government, industrialists and farmers about drought risk reduction, presenting different means and actions that these authors can implement, and even increasing knowledge on the topic are great ways to improve the sensitivity to drought hazards [98].

Water Conservation Practices: Water loss reduction system involves several actions, ranging from water reuse and awareness to the construction of reservoirs. **Table 4** shows some of these actions presented by Vickers (2017) [99].

| Category | Conservation measure |
|---------------------------|--|
| Water system | System water losses reduction Leak detection Infrastructure rehabilitation and replacement |
| Residential | Financial incentives for conservation High efficiency home appliances (washing machine, dishwasher) Faucets and urinals with limited flow rates Maintenance |
| Landscape | Limited irrigation and irrigation times stipulated Use of native and/or drought-tolerant plants Efficient irrigation systems and devices Rainwater harvesting |
| Industrial and commercial | Conservation-oriented inclining water rates Efficient cooling and heating systems Process and wastewater reuse |
| Agricultural | Efficient irrigation systems and practices Native and drought-adaptive crops Dry farming Land conservation methods |

Table 4. Overview of Water Conservation Measures. Modified [99].





2.5.4FLOODING

The pillars of protection against floods are composed of risk analysis, assessment and management [100]. The first consists of comprehensive analyses (hydraulic conditions; hydro meteorological situation; aquatic and territorial issues; damage potential), flood risk estimation, determination processes, and a definition of impacts. Risk assessment involves quantifying the risk depending on the disaster, the vulnerability, and its value, describing the risk acceptance, and comparing the benefits with the costs of implementation. Finally, risk management is based on defining protection objectives, developing solution concepts, plans and implementing response measures, emergency planning and increasing local resilience to deal with residual risks.

Good practices related to flood management are advocated by the common diagnosis of flood policies, institutions involved, early warning systems, data monitoring stations and emergency protocols. The creation of maps of disasters, risks and vulnerability are indispensable steps to defining the priority areas (action plans) and more likely to occur in these events. In addition, assessments of the impact of climate change, discharge observations, flood formation processes, and differentiated safety concepts must be addressed to better understand the risk [101]. Alternative measures to protect against floods include:

- Maintenance of water courses suppression of vegetation, sediment retention structures, repair of damage to existing structures, maintenance of river banks and banks;
- Hazard maps related to land use identify danger zones, establish appropriate constructions, assess vulnerable zones;
- Structural protection measures retention dams, construction of dams, opening of river beds, breakwaters, block ramps, and the measures must be kept as close to nature as possible without interfering in its landscape;
- Emergency planning flood forecasting, operation of early warning systems, evacuation planning and viable routes, creation and training of units prepared to combat rescue.

Among the strategies applied in the SUDOE region are the diversion of water and sediment to less vulnerable locations, watersheds monitoring, early warning systems, plans for prevention and emergency management, construction of houses with strong foundations above ground.



2.5.5 AVALANCHES

Avalanche risk can be eliminated from decision making, but it is usually possible to just reduce it in frequency and / or intensity, the uncertainty of these events being an inherent part of avalanche risk management. The recognition of uncertainty is necessary to accommodate and manage decisions, it is through its existence that techniques and measures are taken to minimize impacts [102]. The technical aspects of avalanche risk management presented here are widely used as a solid framework for the assessment and mitigation of these events.

The main recommendations for avalanche management have been evolving and being adapted based on common knowledge, where the main measures and actions presented here are considered useful for any country or region prone to avalanches in Europe.

The initial stage consists of the prediction and understanding of the phenomenon through a set of interconnected good practices. Obtaining information and quantifying variables is one of the crucial steps for understanding the resulting phenomena. Immediate forecasting is necessary to transmit and alert the population to the imminent danger and to make preventive decisions. In general, this system consists of several instruments and sensors for observation and data collection, including radars, satellites, monitoring stations, among others [103]. Immediate forecasting depends on high-resolution data and updates quickly, which requires training of the operator in front of the integrated system.

The main parameters and variables analysed are related to meteorology, snow depth, blizzard events, and snow drift [102]. Based on the variables analysed, a series of exploratory materials can be produced to contribute significantly to the understanding of the processes. The definition of risk areas and evacuation zones is possible through the knowledge of the drainage distances in the avalanches, in addition to the terrain analysis and map production. The use of GIS techniques is extremely necessary to classify risky and highly vulnerable zones, relating terrain data to predictor variables. The variables are also used as input data for the simulation of scenarios through numerical models, as well as to evaluate the conditions and efficiency of structural measures. The forecast relies heavily on information from the history of events, present and future, related to climatic and local variables, which may affect the accumulation and transport of snow, being considered an integral part of prevention [104].

The prevention stage is aligned with the mitigation process, generally divided into structural and non-structural measures. Non-structural measures include issuing bulletins with information on avalanche risks, land use restrictions, warning messages

MONTCLIMA | STATE OF THE ART

and temporary evacuation, mapping the risks and degrees of exposure, safety regulations and procedures and artificial avalanche activation. Structural defences, on the other hand, consist of technical measures for the direct protection of buildings in the surroundings (reinforced walls, containment barriers), in the diversion or channelling of avalanches (dividing structures), to retain and store the accumulation of snow (retention dams, warehouses) snow, trees and nature elements), avoid or reduce the size of the block released in the starting area (support structures such as terraces, snow nets, steel bridges and combined types), and alter snow deposition areas (fences and wind deflectors). The application of these measures is based on calculations performed in advance using the information from the variables previously collected. The final step is characterized by the dissemination of information to the public, being interconnected with all the previous steps, being fundamental for the dissemination of information and knowledge related to the danger of avalanche, as well as emergency planning and response decisions in the event of occurrences, the role of digital media

for the flow of information being extremely important.



APPEL PR.

ange - the

414 ------

AL HALL

ACCOLUMN STATE

2.5.6 ROCKFALLS

Rockfall Hazard Rating System (RHRS): It is a classification system for rocky slopes facing highways developed by Pierson [105]. The RHRS method assesses the degree of exposure of the highway in relation to rockfalls using parameters that control and govern the problem in the rock masses: height of the slope, average risk to the vehicle, width of the pavement, geostructural characteristics, dimension of the blocks, and history of falls among others. It is used as a tool for rapid determination of rocky embankments that offer hazards to users through a semi-quantitative analysis. The categories are framed in different scores and the scoring criterion increases exponentially between 3 and 81, allowing the distinction between the most problematic or dangerous slopes, with the slopes with the highest scores pointing to a priority need for remediation.

Rockfall Risk Management (ROMA): The rockfall risk management method combines the analysis of the trajectory of falling blocks and cracks, with the aid of the construction of an abacus, better known as event tree analysis. It is a very useful tool in the mountains, due to the particular geometries and peculiar geological contexts, requiring a representative model of the problems faced [106]. An event tree is composed of several branches and nodes, which, depending on the occurrence of events, leads to an analysis directed by collision probability calculations, for example between rocks and vehicles. The information is extremely relevant to the knowledge of the likelihood that a rock release will cause any accident or damage.



3. METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted comprised two main parts: First, we reviewed the EM-DAT database to identify the main disasters in the countries that comprise the SUDOE region; second, we analyzed projects in databases of European funding programs, we use The Community Research and Development Information Service (CORDIS) and The EU's funding instrument for the environment and climate action (LIFE Program). In addition, we also use academic databases as support tools to search for terms and definitions directly related to our study focus, such as: mountain areas, natural hazards, and disaster risk management.

3.1 DISASTER DATABASE ANALYSIS

The Emergency Event Database (EM-DAT) was created with initial support from the World Health Organization (WHO) and launched by the Centre for Research in the Epidemiology of Disasters (CRED). The BD contains important information about the occurrence and effects of disasters in the world from 1900 to the present day. The BD EM-DAT is composed of data from various sources, including EU entities, research institutes and non-governmental associations. The BD EM-DAT considers 4 alternative criteria for the inclusion of a given disaster: i) report of 10 or more deaths; ii) notification of 100 or more affected persons; iii) request for international assistance; and iv) declaration of a state of emergency. Thus, events that do not meet at least one of the parameters are not logged.

The objective of this step was to provide an overview of the most relevant disasters or those with the greatest impact on the region. For this, we use data from 1980 to 2020, considering only nationally reported disasters for countries in the SUDOE region (with the exception of Andorra, which has no data available).

3.2 PROJECT ANALYSIS – EUROPEAN DATABASES

One of the activities of the Montclima project was the capitalization of projects and good practices related to the prevention and management of natural hazards in the mountainous areas of the SUDOE region. Therefore, the objective of this stage was to seek and compile the most recent projects that exclusively addressed these subjects. For this, we carried out an initial phase of surveying projects based on previously defined criteria (**Table 5**), followed by an eligibility phase through the application of evaluation criteria (**Annex I**) with purpose of extracting relevant information for the composition of a database with the projects most aligned within the scope of

Montclima. Finally, we defined a list of fixed characteristics to be researched within each project. These elements were selected in order to derive relevant information about strategies to address disasters and to prepare information sheets that were later made available on the Montclima website, 5 of which were carried out with more detailed information obtained from scheduled meetings with a member of each selected project.

| Criteria | Description |
|-----------------------|---|
| Language | English |
| Timeline | 2015 to 2021 |
| SUDOE countries | Portugal or Spain or France or Andorra |
| Natural hazards* type | natural hazards OR natural disasters OR multi-hazards OR geophysical OR meteorological OR hydrological OR climatological OR wildfires OR forest fires OR droughts OR flooding OR floods OR erosion OR landslide OR rockfall OR rock-falls |
| Document type | Projects |
| *or disastors | |

 Table 5. Project Selection Criteria.

or disasters



4. DATA ANALYSIS

4.1 OVERVIEW OF DISASTERS IN THE SUDOE REGION

According to data extracted from EM-DAT, a total of 296 disasters were recorded between 1980 and 2020 for the SUDOE region. In general, for all types of disasters, meteorological and climatological were the most frequent, mainly through storms and forest fires, respectively (**Figure 10**).



Figure 10. Summary of type of disasters for the SUDOE region between 1980 and 2020.

Considering the relationship between deaths and occurrences for this time period, Spain had the highest ratio (177.6). The total number of deaths was mainly due to the heatwave that occurred in 2003, were mainly responsible for 69%, 95% and 76% of the total fatalities in France, Spain and Portugal, respectively, being the most lethal disaster in the region (**Table 6**).

| Country | Number of disasters | Total deaths | Ratio | Heatwave deaths | |
|----------|---------------------|--------------|-------|-----------------|--|
| | | | | | |
| France | 164 | 28380 | 173.2 | 19490 | |
| Spain | 89 | 15803 | 177.6 | 15090 | |
| Portugal | 43 | 3535 | 82.2 | 2696 | |

Table 6. Relationship between the number of disasters and the number of victims.

In terms of economic losses, France was the country in the region with greatest losses, with meteorological events contributing more than half of the total damage to this country (**Figure 11**), mainly storms (84%). This same behaviour was not observed in Portugal and Spain, since in these countries climatological disasters were main responsible for the total damage. In the case of Portugal, wildfires contributed to 75% of the economic losses associated with climatological disasters and, in Spain, droughts contributed 79% of total climatological damage.



Figure 11. Most representative disasters in terms of damage.



4.1.1 PORTUGAL

Overall, 43 disasters were recorded between 1980-2020, including floods, windstorms, earthquakes, wildfires, landslides, droughts and extreme temperatures. Climatological disasters contributed to the greatest economic losses in the country, while meteorological risks were the ones that provided the smallest losses (**Figure 12**). There were no recorded data on geophysical events (e.g. earthquakes), but that does not mean that the country is free from these hazards. The last recorded earthquake was in 1969, which occurred in the region of the Bank of Gorringe (magnitude 7.5), near the contact between the African and Eurasian plates.

Wildfires affected the population more economically than any other disaster in the country, accounting for an estimated \$4.3 million in total losses. Large areas were burned in 2017, this year 21,006 fire occurrences were recorded and fire consumed 539,920 hectares [107], making it the most catastrophic year of the las decade in relation to this danger. In addition to the burned areas, 2017 was marked by the loss of human life as a result of forest fires, as in the Pedrógão Grande region, where there were 64 deaths and hundreds injured. The incidence of disasters shows that the country is more vulnerable to meteorological and climatological hazards, more specifically to events related to extreme temperatures and forest fires.

Meantime, the number of deaths recorded for 2015-2020 (117 deaths) more than doubled compared to 2009-2014 (56 deaths), indicating an increase in the vulnerability of the territory. Even when we increase the analysis of the timeline, for example, 2006-2014, the number of deaths (99 deaths) remains lower than in the last 6 years.

MONTCLIMA | STATE OF THE ART





Figure 12. Overview of disaster events reported in Portugal.

4.1.2 SPAIN

Spain is vulnerable to many natural hazards, including wildfires, droughts, floods and extreme temperatures. According to EM-DAT data, meteorological disasters accounted for 38% of the total disasters that occurred between 1980 and 2020 (**Figure 13**), with 73% related to storms and 27% related to extreme temperatures. However, climatic disasters such as droughts have drastically affected the Spanish territory, causing economic losses and exorbitant damage to the country. The worst drought in recorded history was in 1990, affecting more than 6 million people. In addition, forest fires were responsible for more than 74 deaths during the entire period, and the intensity and magnitude of this danger has increased in recent years.

At the same time, the floods caused more than 10 million dollars in damage to the country, with more than 7 events occurring in the last 6 years (2015-2020). The most catastrophic floods occurred in 1982 and 1983, which together caused around 125 deaths, totalling over 5 million in financial losses. Only one landslide event was reported, which occurred in 1996 near the Biesca region (Pyrenees), where approximately 84 people died after a heavy rain event that triggered a landslide. Only two earthquakes were reported, the only one that caused fatalities (10 deaths) occurred in 2011 in the Murcia region of southern Spain.

Regarding the victims, for the period 2015-2020, 71 deaths were recorded, while for the years 2009-2014, 53 deaths were recorded, indicating an increase in the vulnerability of the territory to disasters, such as floods, which caused 43 deaths and over 2.6 million dollars in economic losses in the last 6 years.

MONTCLIMA | STATE OF THE ART







Figure 13. Disaster events reported in Spain.

4.1.3 FRANCE

France is one of the most affected countries in the SUDOE region in terms of number of events, economic losses and fatalities. The region is mainly affected by meteorological disasters 54% (specifically storms and extreme temperatures) and hydrological 36% (specifically floods). More than \$11 million was recorded in terms of economic losses from hydrological disasters, with 322 deaths over the entire period. In the last 6 years alone (2015-2020) there have been 10 flood events, 50 deaths and over 5 million dollars in damages (**Figure 14**). As for meteorological disasters, there were around 28,000 deaths, these being especially linked to the numerous events of extreme temperatures that occurred in the country, where damage has already reached 32 million dollars.

The extreme temperatures show the vulnerability of the territory to this danger, since several events in recent decades have caused thousands of deaths: 2003 (19490 deaths), 2006 (1388 deaths), 2015 (3275 deaths), 2018-2019 (1435 deaths) and 2020 (1924 deaths). The resilience of territories and buildings is closely linked to the natural hazard and its consequences, for the year 2003 it is estimated that most victims belonged to the elderly group [108] and the places associated with the deaths were unprepared, with lack of thermal insulation in old buildings and lack of green spaces around the buildings [109].

In terms of meteorological hazards, another risk plaguing the region are storms, which is the hazard that most affect France economically, reaching more than 28 million dollars in economic losses for the period 1980 - 2020. In the last 6 years of analysis of the EM-DAT 2015-2020 data, there were 3434 fatalities, more than 24 times for the period 2009-2014 (141 deaths), highlighting the weaknesses in the face of the dangers that have been intensifying increasingly, both by the vulnerability of the places and by the impacts of climate change.



MONTCLIMA | STATE OF THE ART









Figure 14. Disaster events reported in France.

4.1.4ANDORRA

Andorra is a small mountainous country located in the Pyrenees but is not included in the EM-DAT database. This text is based on a local database under construction by Andorra Research + Innovation. It is being developed mainly from data collected from newspapers and firefighting interventions. However, information on economic losses is not included. So, the meaning of disaster included in the EM-DAT is different from the Andorran context, since all the events are included in the database (e.g., report without deaths). According to the local database (not completed), hydrological hazards represented 57% (**Figure 15**) of the total natural hazards that occurred from 1980. It must be noted that all mass movements (landslides, rockfalls, debris, etc.) are included in the hydrogeological group since they are generally related to rainfall. Rockfalls and landslides represent 68% of hydrogeological hazards, floods 22% and snow avalanches 9%.

Snow avalanches are not very frequent but are the hazard that caused more fatalities in the country, with 18 deaths since 1975. Six heavy snowfall episodes in 1996 led to a huge avalanche in Arinsal which caused no fatalities thanks to an orderly evacuation of more than 300 people. Floods are the phenomena that caused more fatalities in one single event: the 1982 flood. It caused 13 fatalities in the country and was also catastrophic in Spain. Meteorological risks also affect Andorra with 33% (mainly snowfalls). Due to the mountainous nature of the country, landslides and rockfalls are quite common in Andorra, although they have not caused any casualties. In 2019 the road that connects Spain with Andorra (the main entrance to the country) was closed for hours due to a large landslide. Economic losses are at least 5 million euros.

The orography of Andorra and the urban development the last few decades lead to building increasingly in areas more exposed to natural hazards.

MONTCLIMA | STATE OF THE ART





Figure 15. Disaster events reported in Andorra (1980-2020).

4.2 GOOD PRACTICES IN THE SUDOE REGION

By sharing Disaster Risk Management good practices from various locations, a "culture of prevention" may be established based on communication and mutual learning. The initiatives compiled in this document are mainly focused on floods, wildfires, erosion and droughts. To look for more examples of good practices, see the appendices to this document or go to https://www.montclima.eu/. The search of the projects on the databases produced 77 results for our evaluated period. The most highly cited natural hazard were climatological and multi-hazards (Figure 16).



Figure 16. Total number of projects and its classification.

A quantitative analysis by region and by hazard classification showed that most of the study cases were carried out in Spain and, when we compared the natural hazard and the location of the study area, it is clear that in France and Spain the main natural hazard studied was for multi-risk, while in Portugal it was for climatological risk (**Figure 17**).



Figure 17. Classification of projects by country.

Following a review based in criteria adopted, 32 projects were selected, most of the projects selected for review addressed strategies focused on climate change, wildfires and multi-risk analysis (Figure 18).



Figure 18. Natural risk management strategies in the SUDOE region.

Many disasters are related to each other, so managing a specific natural risk can decrease the risk of another one, in the same way, one natural hazard can promote or enhance another hazard. Prolonged droughts favour the ignition of wildfires [68] and mountain-burned regions favour soil erosion [109]. This perception strengthens the use of multi-risk approaches and some strategies identified in the projects include this approach. For example, the same project can present different strategies for the same natural hazard and in some cases the same strategy can be used to combat more than two hazards, as is the case with intensive controlled grazing, used both a strategy to fight climate change, and as a wildfire mitigation strategy.

The complete list of selected projects is available in **Annex II**. However, the 5 fact sheets made from information obtained through online meetings with a member of the

MONTCLIMA | STATE OF THE ART

projects are available as external attachments to this document. We identified that most of the selected projects work with a mutual approach involving partner countries, strengthening transnationality and international cooperation. It is not surprising to local governments that resilience to disasters is a top priority, and to that end they are continually developing national strategies for disaster risk reduction. Alongside the efforts of each country, international cooperation is being strengthened, both because disasters do not recognize borders and because of the exchange of knowledge and information to mitigate and manage natural risks.

In this review, we found projects addressing all of the natural hazards included in the Montclima objective. However, there are some strategies that also focus on territories that are not necessary mountain areas but are within the study countries. While all these practices seem excellent and easy to implement, their effectiveness depends on several factors, involving policy actions, training and field study (**Annex III**).

4.2.1 WILDFIRES

The Mediterranean territories are the most affected by wildfires in Europe, with several registered events that have been catastrophic, leading to the death of many people. For this reason, there is a great interest in the wildfires prevention and control, existing an extensive set of good practices aiming at this natural hazard. As previously mentioned, the management of wildfire hazard depends basically on actions directed to the management and use of the soil, which despite appearing simple requires a joint effort of several actors for its efficient implementation.

Among the good practices used to manage the risk of forest fires observed in the SUDOE projects, we highlight the prescribed burning, use of animals to control biomass, firebreaks and mapping the risk of wildfires.

4.2.2 EROSION

Landscape and fire management are key to minimizing soil loss and its impacts on ecosystems [111]. For example, soil erosion rates are high when conventional practices are applied to upland rainfed olive (Olea europaea L.) plantations in a semi-arid Mediterranean environment. In this case, integrating a mixture of organic and conventional doctrines is vital for slopes and low fertility soils because they maintain or improve the functioning of the soil ecosystem [112].

On the other hand, the abandonment and revegetation of agricultural lands also have impacts of the dynamic of soil properties in the mountainous areas in the Mediterranean, however, the results of a study carried out in the Central Pyrenees of Spain raise the question of what are the best practices for soil recovery and erosion control in abandoned land, since no difference was found between soil improvement by natural succession and by afforestation [113].

4.2.3 GRAVITATIONAL NATURAL HAZARDS

The SUDOE region, like other mountainous regions in the world, suffers from a lack of geomechanical, historical and statistical data regarding the activity of rockfalls. In this sense, numerical evaluations, such as scenario modelling, are often challenged by the absence of information added to the variability and uncertainties of nature [117, 118]. Some of the strategies identified for managing rockfall, landslide and mass movement risks include risk maps and runoff material collections and characterization (**Annex III**).

4.2.4 DROUGHT

Climate change and the environmental demand for water further increase competition for scarce water resources in several arid and semi-arid regions of the world (e.g. Spain). In this context, it is necessary to incorporate the strategic behavior of water stakeholders in the design of acceptable and stable drought mitigation policies, as there is evidence that cooperation in water management policies reduces the cost of damage caused by drought [114].

In addition, forest management is also key in strategies to combat drought, considering that the forest in the Mediterranean basin are dominated by pine species and have a number of characteristics that make them more vulnerable to droughts. Therefore, promoting the conservation of high-altitude pine plantations and improving the regeneration of natural pine and oak trees can improve the resilience of these forest ecosystems [115].

4.2.5 FLOODING

Using morphological approaches to map landforms is a valuable tool to assist policymakers and planners in managing flood risk and land use, as it requires less data and is less time consuming than hydrological and can be used in situations where hydrological data are not available [116].

4.2.6 CLIMATE CHANGE

Climate change is not considered a disaster in itself but a changing climate leads to changes in the frequency, intensity, spatial extent, duration and timing of weather and climate extremes and adds uncertainty to the assessment of hazards and vulnerability [73]. Therefore, climate change increases people's vulnerability and should be seen as a complex danger, which is weakening the resilience of communities [119]. Even if there were no changes in climate due to anthropogenic factors, meteorological and climatic extremes would still occur [73].

The most common scenarios used for long term are the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP), which were adopted by the IPCC in the fifth Assessment Report (AR5) in 2014. These pathways represent the total radiative forcing (W/m^2) by the year 2100, expressing the cumulative measure of human emissions of GHGs from all sources [120]. In this way, it is possible to have a perception of which natural hazards will be most influenced by climate change, allowing specific adaptation actions to reduce future impacts when a disaster occurs.

In the case of the SUDOE region, the strategies identified to fight climate change range from adaptation practices to resilience measures (**Annex IV**), that agricultural developed in a sustainable way, such as through agroforestry systems, agroecology or organic agriculture, is a common strategy in several projects to make territories more resilient to climate change. The change in the agricultural model contributes to combating a series of environmental challenges such as the loss of biodiversity, water pollution and soil degradation due to intensive use of pesticides. The change in the agricultural model in the region can also be verified through the increase inland destined for organic crops. According to IFOAM Organic Europe [121], over the last decade organic farming has been growing in Europe and 7%, 21% and 26% of hectares of organic land in 2019 were destined as permanent crops in France, Portugal and Spain, respectively (**Figure 19**).



Figure 19. Organic land area in Portugal, Spain and France.

5. CONCLUSION

This overview of natural hazards and disaster risk management in the SUDOE region allowed us to observe current advances and trends in natural hazards such as heatwaves, droughts, floods, landslides and wildfires, using datasets recorded in different European sources and results of environmental projects developed in the region.

One of the main conclusions is that disasters in the region have increased by approximately 26% in the past four decades, rising from more than 60 events between 1981-1990 to more than 85 in 2011-2020. The number of disasters related to meteorological and hydrological events increased sharply in that period. In terms of numbers of occurrences, meteorological disasters were the most representative, with 16, 33 and 87 events recorded in Portugal, Spain and France, respectively. Otherwise, in Andorra, hydrological risks accounted for 57% of the total natural risks that occurred from 1980 onwards. Particularly the heatwave was the deadliest event in the period studied, accounting for 95%, 76%, and 68% of deaths in Spain, Portugal and France, respectively. Although in Spain and Portugal the greatest economic losses were associated with climatological disasters, in France it was the meteorological disasters that caused the greatest economic losses.

On the other hand, based on information collected in the projects, we highlight that 72% of selected projects work with partner countries, strengthening international

engage cooperation stakeholders to through the exchange of knowledge, information and experience. Moreover, projects in the region developed techniques to deal with disasters associated with wildfires, floods, landslides, mass movement and rockfall, when classified by DRM activity and hazard type, the strategies selected focused on mainly mitigation and preparedness activities. However, there are some strategies that also focus on territories that are not necessarily mountainous areas but are within the study countries.

In particular, wildfires receive great attention, since most of the good practices addressed this type of hazard through prescribed burning, guided herbivory and early detection of forest fires. However, in the SUDOE region, in addition to practices to face natural hazards, there are also many strategies based on sustainable agricultural techniques to combat the risks associated with climate change.

In Europe, Portugal, Spain and France lead the list of countries with the largest area burned and the largest number of occurrences, therefore, it is necessary that future projects include new techniques and strategies to combat forest fires, mainly through mitigation activities that involve the community, public authorities and stakeholders.

6. **REFERENCES**

- 1. Hewitt, K., & Mehta, M. (2012). Rethinking risk and disasters in mountain areas. *Journal of Alpine Research/ Revue de géographie alpine*, (100-1).
- Wisner B, Blaikie P, Cannon T, Davis I (2014) At Risk : Natural Hazards, People's Vulnerability and Disasters. Risk Nat Hazards Peoples Vulnerability Disasters 1–471. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203714775</u>
- 3. Egan PA, Price MF (2017) Mountain Ecosystem Services and Climate Change A Global: Overview of Potential Threats and Strategies for Adaptation. UNESCO, Paris
- 4. Chape S, Spalding M, Jenkins M (2008) The World's Protected Areas. Status, Values and Prospects in the 21st Century. UNEP-World Conservation Monitoring Centre
- Zhao, W., & Duan, S. B. (2020). Reconstruction of daytime land surface temperatures under cloud-covered conditions using integrated MODIS/Terra land products and MSG geostationary satellite data. *Remote Sensing of Environment, 247*, 111931.
- Cunha, L. (2003). A montanha do centro português: espaço de refúgio, território marginal e recurso para o desenvolvimento local. Centro de Estudos Geográficos, Faculdade de Letras-Universidade de Coimbra.
- Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica y el Reto Demográfico. (n.d.). Conservación de las áreas de montaña. Retrieved February 20, 2022, from <u>https://www.miteco.gob.es/es/biodiversidad/temas/ecosistemas-y-conectividad/conservacionde-las-areas-de-montana/default.aspx</u>
- Agence Nationale de la Cohésion des Territoires. (2021, June 24). Avenir montagnes ingénierie. Agence Cohesion Territoires. Retrieved June 27, 2022, from <u>https://agence-cohesion-territoires.gouv.fr/avenir-montagnes-ingenierie#scrollNav-1</u>
- Ministère de l'Agriculture. (n.d.). ommunes classées en zone de montagne. Observatoire Des Territoires. Retrieved July 6, 2022, from <u>https://www.observatoire-des-</u> <u>territoires.gouv.fr/communes-classees-en-zone-de-montagne-zonage-agriculture</u>
- 10. Füssel H-M, Jol A, Marx A, Hildén M (2017) Climate change, impacts and vulnerability in Europe 2016: An indicator-based report
- 11. Perrigo A, Hoorn C, Antonelli A (2020) Why mountains matter for biodiversity. J Biogeogr 47:315–325. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13731</u>
- 12. Usman RA, Olorunfemi FB, Awotayo GP, Tunde AM, Usman BA (2013) Disaster Risk Management and Social Impact Assessment: Understanding Preparedness, Response and Recovery in Community Projects. In: Environmental Change and Sustainability. InTech
- 13. Cunha, L. (2003). A montanha do centro português: espaço de refúgio, território marginal e recurso para o desenvolvimento local. *Centro de Estudos Geográficos, Faculdade de Letras-Universidade de Coimbra*.
- 14. de Rigo D, Libertà G, Houston Durrant T, Artés Vivancos T, San-Miguel-Ayanz J (2017) Forest fire danger extremes in Europe under climate change : variability and uncertainty
- 15. IPCC (2014) Fifth Assessment Report (AR5) WGII
- Alcántara-Ayala I (2002) Geomorphology, natural hazards, vulnerability and prevention of natural disasters in developing countries. Geomorphology. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-555X(02)00083-1</u>
- 17. Alfthan B, Gjerdi HL, Puikkonen L, Andresen M, Semernya L, Schoolmeester T, Jurek M (2018) Mountain Adaptation Outlook Series – Synthesis Report
- 18. Field CB, Barros V, Stocker TF, Dahe Q (2012) Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation: special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change. Cambridge University Press

- 19. World Bank (2010) Natural Hazards, UnNatural Disasters. The World Bank
- 20. Marzocchi W, Garcia-Aristizabal A, Gasparini P, Mastellone ML, Ruocco A Di (2012) Basic principles of multi-risk assessment: A case study in Italy. Nat Hazards 62:551–573. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-012-0092-x
- 21. Temperli C, Stadelmann G, Thürig E, Brang P (2017) Silvicultural strategies for increased timber harvesting in a Central European mountain landscape. Eur J For Res 136:493–509. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-017-1048-1
- 22. Fuchs S, Thaler T (2018) Vulnerability and resilience to natural hazards
- 23. Gill JC, Malamud BD (2014) Reviewing and visualizing the interactions of natural hazards. Rev. Geophys. 52:680–722
- 24. De Rigo, D., Libertà, G., Durrant, T. H., Vivancos, T. A., & San-Miguel-Ayanz, J. (2017). *Forest fire danger extremes in Europe under climate change: variability and uncertainty* (Doctoral dissertation, Publications Office of the European Union).
- Augusto, S., Ratola, N., Tarín-Carrasco, P., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Turco, M., Schuhmacher, M., ... & Costa, C. (2020). Population exposure to particulate-matter and related mortality due to the Portuguese wildfires in October 2017 driven by storm Ophelia. *Environment International*, 144, 106056.
- 26. Rego FMCC, Rodríguez JMM, Calzada VRV, Xanthopoulos G (2018) Wildfires: Sparking firesmart policies in the EU
- Moreira F, Viedma O, Arianoutsou M, Curt T, Koutsias N, Rigolot E, Barbati A, Corona P, Vaz P, Xanthopoulos G, Mouillot F, Bilgili E (2011) Landscape - wildfire interactions in southern Europe: Implications for landscape management. J. Environ. Manage. 92:2389–2402
- 28. Pausas JG, Fernández-Muñoz S (2012) Fire regime changes in the Western Mediterranean Basin: From fuel-limited to drought-driven fire regime. Clim Change 110:215–226. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-011-0060-6
- Romero-Calcerrada R, Barrio-Parra F, Millington JDA, Novillo CJ (2010) Spatial modelling of socioeconomic data to understand patterns of human-caused wildfire ignition risk in the SW of Madrid (central Spain). Ecol Modell 221:34–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.08.008
- 30. Mercer DE, Prestemon JP (2005) Comparing production function models for wildfire risk analysis in the wildland-urban interface. In: Forest Policy and Economics. Elsevier, pp 782–795
- McCool DK, Williams JD (2008) Soil Erosion by Water. In: Encyclopedia of Ecology, Five-Volume Set. Elsevier Inc., pp 3284–3290
- 32. Bullock P (2004) Climate Change Impacts. In: Encyclopedia of Soils in the Environment. Elsevier Inc., pp 254–262
- 33. Adhikari K, Hartemink AE (2016) Linking soils to ecosystem services A global review. Geoderma 262:101–111. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GEODERMA.2015.08.009</u>
- 34. Herrera G, Mateos RM, García-Davalillo JC, Grandjean G, Poyiadji E, Maftei R, Filipciuc TC, Jemec Auflič M, Jež J, Podolszki L, Trigila A, Iadanza C, Raetzo H, Kociu A, Przyłucka M, Kułak M, Sheehy M, Pellicer XM, McKeown C, Ryan G, Kopačková V, Frei M, Kuhn D, Hermanns RL, Koulermou N, Smith CA, Engdahl M, Buxó P, Gonzalez M, Dashwood C, Reeves H, Cigna F, Lik P, Pauditš P, Mikulėnas V, Demir V, Raha M, Quental L, Sandić C, Fusi B, Jensen OA (2018) Landslide databases in the Geological Surveys of Europe. Landslides 15:359–379. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-017-0902-z</u>
- 35. EM-DAT (2021) General Classification
- 36. Singh A, Juyal V, Kumar B, Gusain HS, Shekhar MS, Singh P, Kumar S, Negi HS (2021) Avalanche hazard mitigation in east Karakoram mountains. Nat Hazards 105:643–665. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-020-04329-6</u>
- 37. Muñoz RI (2012) Accidentes por aludes de nieve en España durante las diez primeras temporadas del siglo XXI. Rev L'Associació per al Coneix la Neu i les Allaus 4:19–23

- 38. Andrés AJ, Cía JC (2004) Caracterización y tipología de canales de aludes en el valle de Ordesa (Pirineo central español). Boletín La Real Soc Española Hist Nat Sección Geológica 99:93–103
- Cía JC, Andrés AJ, Montañés Magallón A (2014) A proposal for avalanche susceptibility mapping in the Pyrenees using GIS: the Formigal-Peyreget area (Sheet 145-I; scale 1:25.000). J Maps 10:203–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2013.870501
- Bergua SB, Piedrabuena MÁP, Alfonso JLM (2018) Snow avalanche susceptibility in the eastern hillside of the aramo range (Asturian central massif, cantabrian mountains, nw spain). J Maps 14:373–381. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2018.1480974</u>
- Giacona F, Eckert N, Martin B (2017) La construction du risque au prisme territorial: Dans l'ombre de l'archétype alpin, les avalanches oubliées de moyenne montagne. Natures Sci Soc 25:148–162. <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/nss/2017025</u>
- 42. Fredston J, Fesler D, Tremper B (1994) The human factor–Lessons for avalanche education. In: Proc. 1994 International Snow Science Workshop. Citeseer, pp 473–487
- 43. Marinos P, Tsiambaos G (2002) Earthquake Triggering Rock Falls Affecting Historic Monuments and a Traditional Settlement in Skyros Island, Greece. In: International Symposium: Landslide Risk Mitigation and Protection of Cultural and Natural Heritage. United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organizations, Kyoto University, pp 343–346
- 44. Hungr O, Leroueil S, Picarelli L (2014) The Varnes classification of landslide types, an update. Landslides 11:167–194
- 45. Hoek E (2007) Analysis of Rockfall Hazards. In: Practical Rock Engineering
- 46. Carlà T, Nolesini T, Solari L, Rivolta C, Dei Cas L, Casagli N (2019) Rockfall forecasting and risk management along a major transportation corridor in the Alps through ground-based radar interferometry. Landslides 16:1425–1435. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-019-01190-y</u>
- 47. Intrieri E, Gigli G, Mugnai F, Fanti R, Casagli N (2012) Design and implementation of a landslide early warning system. Eng Geol 147–148:124–136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2012.07.017
- 48. Wilhite DA (2000) Drought as a Natural Hazard: Concepts and Definitions. In: Drought Mitigation Center Faculty Publications. University of Nebraska, pp 3–18
- 49. Guerreiro SB, Kilsby C, Fowler HJ (2017) Assessing the threat of future megadrought in Iberia. Int J Climatol 37:5024–5034. https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5140
- 50. Jiménez Cisneros BE, Oki T, Arnell NW, Benito G, Cogley JG, Döll P, Jiang T, Mwakalila SS (2014) Freshwater resources. In: Field CB, Barros VR, Dokken DJ, Mach KJ, Mastrandrea MD, Bilir TE, Chatterjee M, Ebi KL, Estrada YO, Genova RC, Girma B, Kissel ES, Levy AN, MacCracken S, Mastrandrea PR, White LL (eds) AR5 Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, pp 229–269
- 51. UNISDR (2009) Drought Risk Reduction Framework and Practices: Contributing to the Implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action. Geneva
- 52. UNDRR (2019) Global assessment report on disaster risk reduction 2019. Geneva
- 53. Fink AH, Brücher T, Krüger A, Leckebusch GC, Pinto JG, Ulbrich U (2004) The 2003 European summer heatwaves and drought –synoptic diagnosis and impacts. Weather 59:209–216. https://doi.org/10.1256/wea.73.04
- 54. Zelenakova M, Purcz P, Solakova T, Simonova D, Ondrejka Harbulakova V Trends in minimal stream flows at eastern Slovakia
- 55. Trnka M, Rötter RP, Ruiz-Ramos M, Kersebaum KC, Olesen JE, Žalud Z, Semenov MA (2014) Adverse weather conditions for European wheat production will become more frequent with climate change. Nat Clim Chang 4:637–643. https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2242
- 56. World Bank (2021) Agriculture, forestry, and fishing, value added (% of GDP)
- 57. Haslinger K, Holawe F, Blöschl G (2019) Spatial characteristics of precipitation shortfalls in the

Greater Alpine Region—a data-based analysis from observations. Theor Appl Climatol 136:717–731. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-018-2506-5</u>

- 58. Tramblay Y, Koutroulis A, Samaniego L, Vicente-Serrano SM, Volaire F, Boone A, Le Page M, Llasat MC, Albergel C, Burak S, Cailleret M, Kalin KC, Davi H, Dupuy JL, Greve P, Grillakis M, Hanich L, Jarlan L, Martin-StPaul N, Martínez-Vilalta J, Mouillot F, Pulido-Velazquez D, Quintana-Seguí P, Renard D, Turco M, Türkeş M, Trigo R, Vidal JP, Vilagrosa A, Zribi M, Polcher J (2020) Challenges for drought assessment in the Mediterranean region under future climate scenarios. Earth-Science Rev. 210:103348
- 59. Climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction in Europe European Environment Agency. <u>https://www.eea.europa.eu/publications/climate-change-adaptation-and-disaster.</u> <u>Accessed 3 Sep 2021</u>
- 60. NOAA National Severe Storms Laboratory. (s.d.). SEVERE WEATHER 101. NOAA National Severe Storms Laboratory. https://www.nssl.noaa.gov/education/svrwx101/floods/types/
- Hirabayashi Y, Kanae S, Emori S, Oki T, Kimoto M (2008) Global projections of changing risks of floods and droughts in a changing climate. Hydrol Sci J 53:754–772. <u>https://doi.org/10.1623/hysj.53.4.754</u>
- 62. Krausmann E, Girgin S, Necci A (2019) Natural hazard impacts on industry and critical infrastructure: Natech risk drivers and risk management performance indicators. Int J Disaster Risk Reduct 40:101163 . <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101163</u>
- 63. Terzi S, Torresan S, Schneiderbauer S, Critto A, Zebisch M, Marcomini A (2019) Multi-risk assessment in mountain regions: A review of modelling approaches for climate change adaptation. J. Environ. Manage. 232:759–771
- 64. Palomo I (2017) Climate Change Impacts on Ecosystem Services in High Mountain Areas: A Literature Review. Mt Res Dev 37:179–187. <u>https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-16-00110.1</u>
- 65. CREA Mont-Blanc (2021) Climate change and its impacts in the Alps
- Gobiet A, Kotlarski S, Beniston M, Heinrich G, Rajczak J, Stoffel M (2014) 21st century climate change in the European Alps-A review. Sci Total Environ 493:1138–1151. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.07.050</u>
- 67. Kohler T, Maselli D (2009) Mountains and climate change. From understanding to action. Geographica Bernensia
- 68. Stoffel M, Huggel C (2012) Effects of climate change on mass movements in mountain environments. Prog. Phys. Geogr. 36:421–439
- 69. Turco M, Von Hardenberg J, AghaKouchak A, Llasat MC, Provenzale A, Trigo RM (2017) On the key role of droughts in the dynamics of summer fires in Mediterranean Europe. Sci Rep 7:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00116-9
- 70. Marin-Ferrer M, Vernaccini L, Poljansek K (2017) Index for Risk Management INFORM Concept and Methodology Report — Version 2017
- 71. UNISDR (2009) 2009 UNISDR Terminology on Disaster Risk Reduction. United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction, Geneva
- 72. Oppenheimer M, Campos M, Warren R, Birkmann J, Luber G, O'Neill B, Takahashi K (2014) Emergent risks and key vulnerabilities. In: Field C., Barros VR, Dokken DJ, Mach KJ, Mastrandrea MD, Bilir TE, Chatterjee M, Eb KL, Estrada YO, Genova RC, Girma B, Kissel ES, Levy AN, MacCracken S, Mastrandrea PR, White LL (eds) Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability. Part A: Global and Sectoral Aspects. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp 1039–1099
- Lavell A, Oppenheimer M, Diop C, Hess J, Lempert R, Li J, Muir-Wood R, Myeong S (2012) Climate change: new dimensions in disaster risk, exposure, vulnerability, and resilience. In: Field CB, Barros V, Stocker TF, Qin D, Dokken DJ, Ebi KL, Mastrandrea MD, Mach KJ, Plattner G-K,

Allen SK, Tignor M, Midgley PM (eds) Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation, Special Re. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, pp 25–64

- 74. IPCC Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation: Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change C.B. Field, V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, Q. Dahe (Eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (2012)
- 75. Mojtahedi, M., & Oo, B. L. (2017). Critical attributes for proactive engagement of stakeholders in disaster risk management. International journal of disaster risk reduction, 21, 35-43.
- 76. Sendai Framework | UNECE. https://unece.org/sendai-framework. Accessed 3 Sep 2021
- 77. Kappes M., Keiler M, Glade T (2010) From single- to multi-hazard risk analyses: a concept addressing emerging challenges. In: Malet J-P, Glade T, Casagli N (eds) Mountains Risks: Bringing Science to Society. CERG Editions, Strassbourg, pp 351–356
- 78. Carpignano A, Golia E, Di Mauro C, Bouchon S, Nordvik JP (2009) A methodological approach for the definition of multi-risk maps at regional level: First application. J Risk Res 12:513–534. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/13669870903050269</u>
- 79. Zischg AP (2010) Transnational collaboration in natural hazards and risk management in the Alpine Space. In: Nota G (ed) Advances in Risk Management. IntechOpen
- Fischer AP, Charnley S (2012) Risk and cooperation: Managing hazardous fuel in mixed ownership landscapes. Environ Manage 49:1192–1207. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-012-9848-z</u>
- Álvarez-Martínez J, Gómez-Villar A, Lasanta T (2016) The Use of Goats Grazing to Restore Pastures Invaded by Shrubs and Avoid Desertification: A Preliminary Case Study in the Spanish Cantabrian Mountains. L Degrad Dev 27:3–13. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2230</u>
- 82. Ruiz-Mirazo J, Robles AB, González-Rebollar JL (2011) Two-year evaluation of fuelbreaks grazed by livestock in the wildfire prevention program in Andalusia (Spain). Agric Ecosyst Environ 141:13–22. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2011.02.002</u>
- Fernandes PM, Davies GM, Ascoli D, Fernández C, Moreira F, Rigolot E, Stoof CR, Vega JA, Molina D (2013) Prescribed burning in southern Europe: developing fire management in a dynamic landscape. Front Ecol Environ 11:e4–e14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/120298</u>
- Bennet M, Fitzgerald SA, Parker B, Main M, Perleberg A, Schnepf CC, Mahoney R (2010) Firebreaks and shaded fuelbreaks. In: Reducing Fire Risk on Your Forest Property. Oregon State University, pp 13–15
- Ascoli D, Russo L, Giannino F, Siettos C, Moreira F (2018) Firebreak and Fuelbreak. In: Encyclopedia of Wildfires and Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) Fires. Springer International Publishing, pp 1–9
- Novo A, Fariñas-álvarez N, Martínez-Sánchez J, González-Jorge H, Fernández-Alonso JM, Lorenzo H (2020) Mapping forest fire risk—a case study in Galicia (Spain). Remote Sens 12:1– 21. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12223705</u>
- 87. Abedi Gheshlaghi H (2019) Using GIS to Develop a Model for Forest Fire Risk Mapping. J Indian Soc Remote Sens 47:1173–1185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-019-00981-z</u>
- 88. Clark, J. E., & Howell, J. H. (1992). Development of bioengineering strategies in rural mountain areas. Erosion, Debris Flows and Environment in Mountain Regions, 209, 387-397.
- 89. Singh, A. K. (2010). Bioengineering techniques of slope stabilization and landslide mitigation. Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal.
- 90. Arnáez J, Lana-Renault N, Lasanta T, Ruiz-Flaño P, Castroviejo J (2015) Effects of farming terraces on hydrological and geomorphological processes. A review. Catena 128:122–134
- 91. Tarolli P, Preti F, Romano N (2014) Terraced landscapes: From an old best practice to a potential hazard for soil degradation due to land abandonment. Anthropocene 6:10–25
- 92. Schönbrodt-Stitt S, Behrens T, Schmidt K, Shi X, Scholten T (2013) Degradation of cultivated

bench terraces in the Three Gorges Area: Field mapping and data mining. Ecol Indic 34:478–493. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.06.010</u>

- 93. Koulouri M, Giourga C (2007) Land abandonment and slope gradient as key factors of soil erosion in Mediterranean terraced lands. Catena 69:274–281. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2006.07.001</u>
- 94. Benavidez R, Jackson B, Maxwell D, Norton K (2018) A review of the (Revised) Universal Soil Loss Equation ((R)USLE): With a view to increasing its global applicability and improving soil loss estimates. Hydrol Earth Syst Sci 22:6059–6086. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-6059-2018</u>
- 95. Alewell C, Borrelli P, Meusburger K, Panagos P (2019) Using the USLE: Chances, challenges and limitations of soil erosion modelling. Int. Soil Water Conserv. Res. 7:203–225
- 96. Sayers, P. B., Yuanyuan, L., Moncrieff, C., Jianqiang, L., Tickner, D., Xiangyu, X., ... & Pegram, G. (2016). Drought risk management: a strategic approach. *Published in*.
- 97. Kourgialas NN, Anyfanti I, Karatzas GP, Dokou Z (2018) An integrated method for assessing drought prone areas Water efficiency practices for a climate resilient Mediterranean agriculture. Sci Total Environ 625:1290–1300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2018.01.051</u>
- 98. Mishra AK, Singh VP (2010) A review of drought concepts. J Hydrol 391:202–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHYDROL.2010.07.012
- 99. Vickers AL (2017) Drought Mitigation: Water Conservation Tools for Short-Term and Permanent Water Savings. In: Drought and Water Crises, 2nd ed. CRC Press, pp 307–324
- 100.Water Directors of the European Union (2003) Best practices on Flood prevention, protection and mitigation. Athens
- 101. Demuth S, Gustard A, Planos E, Scatena F, Servat E (2006) Climate variability and change-hydrological impacts. International Association of Hydrological Sciences
- 102. Canadian Avalanche Association (2016) Technical Aspects of Snow Avalanche Risk Management. Revelstoke
- 103.Wang Y, De Coning E, Jacobs W, Joe P, Nikitina L, Roberts R, Wang J, Wilson J (2017) Guidelines for Nowcasting Techniques. Geneva
- 104.Höller P (2007) Avalanche hazards and mitigation in Austria: A review. Nat. Hazards 43:81-101
- 105. Pierson LA (1191) The Rockfall Hazard Rating System. Oreogn
- 106. Mineo S (2020) Comparing rockfall hazard and risk assessment procedures along roads for different planning purposes. J Mt Sci 17:653–669. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-019-5766-</u>
- 107. PORDATA. (2022, February 2). Incêndios florestais e área ardida. PORDATA Estatísticas Sobre Portugal e Europa. Retrieved June 4, 2022, from https://www.pordata.pt/Europa/Inc%c3%aandios+florestais+e+%c3%a1rea+ardida-1374
- Pirard, P., Vandentorren, S., Pascal, M., Laaidi, K., Le Tertre, A., Cassadou, S., & Ledrans, M. (2005). Summary of the mortality impact assessment of the 2003 heat wave in France. *Eurosurveillance*, *10*(7), 7-8.
- Vandentorren, S., Bretin, P., Zeghnoun, A., Mandereau-Bruno, L., Croisier, A., Cochet, C., ... & Ledrans, M. (2006). August 2003 heat wave in France: risk factors for death of elderly people living at home. *The European Journal of Public Health*, *16*(6), 583-591.
- 110. Shakesby RA (2011) Post-wildfire soil erosion in the Mediterranean: Review and future research directions. Earth-Science Rev. 105:71–100
- 111. Morán-Ordóñez, A., Duane, A., Gil-Tena, A., De Cáceres, M., Aquilué, N., Guerra, C. A., ... & Brotons, L. (2020). Future impact of climate extremes in the Mediterranean: Soil erosion projections when fire and extreme rainfall meet. *Land Degradation & Development, 31*(18), 3040-3054. 91.
- 112. Zuazo, V. H. D., Rodríguez, B. C., García-Tejero, I. F., Ruiz, B. G., & Tavira, S. C. (2020). Benefits of organic olive rainfed systems to control soil erosion and runoff and improve soil health restoration. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, *40*(6), 1-15.

- 113. Nadal-Romero, E., Cammeraat, E., Pérez-Cardiel, E., & Lasanta, T. (2016). Effects of secondary succession and afforestation practices on soil properties after cropland abandonment in humid Mediterranean mountain areas. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 228*, 91-100.
- 114. Kahil, M. T., Dinar, A., & Albiac, J. (2016). Cooperative water management and ecosystem protection under scarcity and drought in arid and semiarid regions. *Water Resources and Economics*, *13*, 60-74.
- Rubio-Cuadrado, Á., Camarero, J. J., Aspizua, R., Sánchez-González, M., Gil, L., & Montes, F. (2018). Abiotic factors modulate post-drought growth resilience of Scots pine plantations and rear-edge Scots pine and oak forests. *Dendrochronologia*, *51*, 54-65.108.
- 116. Cunha, N. S., Magalhães, M. R., Domingos, T., Abreu, M. M., & Küpfer, C. (2017). The land morphology approach to flood risk mapping: An application to Portugal. *Journal of environmental management*, *193*, 172-187.
- 117. De Biagi V, Lia Napoli M, Barbero M, Peila D (2017) Estimation of the return period of rockfall blocks according to their size. Nat Hazards Earth Syst Sci 17:103–113. https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-17-103-2017
- 118. Mavrouli OC, Abbruzzese J, Corominas J, Labiouse V (2014) Review and advances in methodologies for rockfall hazard and risk assessment. In: Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research. Springer Netherlands, pp 179–199
- 119. Lizarralde, G., Bornstein, L., Robertson, M., Gould, K., Herazo, B., Petter, A. M., ... & Bouchereau, K. (2021). Does climate change cause disasters? How citizens, academics, and leaders explain climate-related risk and disasters in Latin America and the Caribbean. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *58*, 102173.
- 120. Wayne GP (2013) The Beginner's Guide to Representative Concentration Pathways (RCPs). Skept Sciece
- 121. IFOAM Organics Europe. (n.d.). Organic in Europe. Organic in Europe. Retrieved June 26, 2022, from https://www.organicseurope.bio/about-us/organic-in-europe/





www.montclima.eu

ANNEX I – EVALUATION CRITERIA FOR PROJECT SELECTION

| Criteria | Description | Rating scale |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <u>Adherence</u> | The project was not aligned with the research objective | Yes = 1 or no = 0 |
| <u>Natural</u> | Natural hazards included in the | At least one natural hazard |
| <u>hazard*</u> | Montclima project | considered = 1 |
| | | No natural hazard considered = 0 |
| <u>Pilot plans or</u> | Activities dedicated to testing or | No pilot plan developed in |
| strategies | implementing strategies related to | SUDOE countries = 0 |
| - | disaster risk management | At least one pilot plan |
| | | developed in SUDOE |
| | | countries = 1 |
| <u>Documents</u> | Documents that contribute to the | Yes = $1 \text{ or } no = 0$ |
| and files | knowledge of the main techniques and tools used in risk management | |
| Campaigns of | Set of actions in promoting project | Yes = 1 or no = 0 |
| awareness | support (webinar, workshops, social | |
| | media, communications, outreach | |
| | material) | |
| Cooperation | Projects developed with more than | Yes = 1 or no = 0 |
| with other | one international organization active | |
| organizations | in the countries that comprise the | |
| | SUDOE region | V 1 0 |
| | | Yes = 1 or no = 0 |

*disaster

Note: A project was selected when the four underlined criteria were met

ANNEX II – PROJECTS IDENTIFIED IN THE SUDOE REGION

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--|---|--------------------|
| LIFE Montserrat | The creation of | This project has | Once the priority areas of action (PAA) | Reconnect the territory and its people | https://lifemonts |
| | strategic fire | created a green | has been defined, possible alliances | with the practice of ranching, which had | errat.eu |
| Financing: Life | prevention areas | infrastructure to | complicity with actors in the territory are | disappeared in the area; 65ha of open | https://www.face |
| Program 2014-2020 | and their | present large forest | analysed. The forest farms and the area | spaces have been recovered through | book.com/LifeM |
| | maintenance | fires and promote | necessary for the viability of each | prescribed burning and 45 ha with | ontserrat |
| Target: Wildfires | through | the conservation of | livestock farm overlap with the PAA, | mechanical means; forest restoration | https://twitter.co |
| | silvopastoral | natural heritage in | allowing each pastoral management unit | work on more than 1300ha; 10 livestock | m/LifeMo |
| Location: | practices | an area of 14 | to be defined from there. To set of all of | management units structured around | |
| Metropolitan | The conservation | municipalities | them form the area of project | strategic areas for fire prevention; work | |
| Region of | and improvement | around the | management (APM). | carried out with the local educational | |
| Barcelona, Spain | of biodiversity in | Montserrat | Thinning has been carried out to reduce | community to generate a sensitivity in | |
| | the area through | mountain | the density of the trees to 1000 ft/ha. This | the territory | |
| | the maintenance | | accelerates the maturation of the pines, | | |
| | and restoration of | | notably improving the habitat for various | | |
| | priority habitats | | plant species from the shrubby and | | |
| | and the habitats | | herbaceous strata and increasing the | | |
| | of threatened and | | diversity of fauna | | |
| | protected species | | Combination of prescribed burning and | | |
| | Increased | | mechanical works on a land area of 181h | | |
| | ecological | | The grazing management plans are | | |
| | connectivity of the | | approximately 30 pages long, with very | | |
| | territory and | | detailed information on the planning of | | |
| | spaces of the | | the livestock management model for | | |
| | Natura 2000 | | each project management area | | |
| | network | | The grazing agreements are the | | |
| | Montserrat- | | commitment of the beneficiary parties of | | |
| | Roques Blanques- | | the conservation actions, with the | | |
| | Riu Lobregat and | | objectives of the project, and the | | |
| | Sant Llorenç del | | guarantee of the durability of the | | |
| | Munt i l'Obac | | investments made | | |
| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| DEMORGEST Financing: Life | This project aims to reduce the vulnerability of the | LIFE+Integration cost-effectiveness of the prevention fires | Preparation: The coordination of the collaborating institutions of the project, technical workshops, improving networks | The ORGEST guidelines propose a total of 127 management models for 32 different tree species. In reference to their | http://cpf.gencat .cat/en/cpf_03_li nies actuacio/cp |
| Program 2013-2017 | forests of Catalonia to large | in the planning and forest management | of forest management groups (XPDs) and the creation of a volunteering human | objective, 64 of the models are productive, 53 have a dual aim | f_transferencia_c oneixement/cpf_ |
| Target: Wildfires | forest fires (GIFs), facilitating the | | resource hub within the voluntary XPDs, the design, location, and diagnosis of | (production-prevention) and 10 are preventive; | projectes_europ eus/cpf_life_dem |
| Location: Catalonia | adoption of new models of | | land on a massive scale (ZPM), and the formation of agreements with | Protection of 3 massifs, which represent 3,176 hectares, based on the | orgest/ |
| | multifunctional forest management (ORGEST models) | | landowners, forestry companies and other companies operating in the region; Implementation: The carrying out of forestry work in the ZPM. The selection | management of 5% of their total area identified as strategic for the prevention and fighting of large forest fires; 58% of the Forest Management Plans | https://www.face book.com/cpfor estal/ |
| | (ORGEST models) that include the production of various goods and services to promote the prevention of GIFs; and which raise awareness in the public of the role of forest management in firefighting and the conservation of agricultural landscapes | | forestry work in the ZPM. The selection and installation of classrooms; Monitoring activities: Tasks to measure and document the effectiveness of project activities against the set objectives. Four different components were studied: fire risk, carbon flow, water use efficiency, and potential biodiversity; Communication and dissemination: Seminars, workshops, and field visits; Continuous review: Networks on a Mediterranean or transnational level will also be created | 58% of the Forest Management Plans approved between 2014 and 2017 use the ORGEST silviculture models; 2 collaboration structures created to continue the research, dissemination, knowledge transfer and training on multifunctional forest management; 11 management support documents published; 740 people participating in training activities (attending workshops) in the field; 34 workers from forestry companies trained in the practical application of the ORGEST models and the criteria for the reduction of a stand's vulnerability to fire; Networking with 2 research institutions, 2 training centres, 2 administrations and 2 owners' association | https://twitter.co m/cpforestal |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| OPEN2PRESERVE Financing: 75% by the European Regional Development Fund 2018-2021 Target: Wildfires Location: South slope of the Western Pyrenees. Sector NW of the LIC Roncesvalles- Selva de Irati. Roncesvalles (Navarra) | The main objective of this project is to connect current interdisciplinary scientific knowledge with technology and practical operation. The project proposes regional pilot experiences based on: combination of guided herbivory and controlled fire: PYRIC HERBIVORY Use of indigenous breeds of equine and ovine livestock for pyric herbivory Identification and foresting of innovative assessment solutions | One of the pilot experience is in Navarra, the area includes a total of 325 hectares that extend to the west and to the east of the Ibañeta hill to the Ortzanzurieta peak. The PE aims to guarantee the preservation of ecosystem services and the ecological quality of open mountain spaces and ensuring the viability of the long- term management model by identifying innovative solutions for economic recovery | Two surface areas have been selected for the combined and monitored practices of controlled burn and guided grazing. A management model for pastures of high environmental value through the combination of guided herbivory or biological clearing, by means of native horse breeds Jaca Navarra and Burguete, accompanied by initial techniques to reduce the accumulation of biomass through controlled or prescribed burning. In 2018, one of the areas has been grazed per 12-15 studs, and the other one, which was grazed by 10-11 studs. This latter zone has also been grazed by sheep. For the pilot experience, the presence of 2 to 6 studs/ha has been scheduled. The animals will change plot when the grazing on offer has finished and will return after successive re-growth | Pasture areas of high biodiversity and good nutritional quality have been maintained and created, which had been invaded by dense monospecific covers of gorse scrub; These recovered pastures have contributed to the breeding of foals that, through grazing, generate meat with a very high intrinsic quality; Through the Focus Group methodology, information has been extracted on the main goods and services, and on the added value provided by pasture production in areas of high environmental value | https://open2pr eserve.eu/en/ https://www.face book.com/open 2preserve/ https://twitter.co m/open2preserv £ |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| PRINCALB Financing: Interreg / Poctefa 2007-2013 Target: Wildfires Location: Massif of the Alberas, Cap de Creus and surroundings | The project aims to work in the defence of forest resources and natural heritage, prevention of natural risks, and to achieve a concerted planning and management of the border massifs in the broadest sense (Salines massif, Albera massif and Cap de Creus) in the field of prevention of fire. In addition, prevent forest fires in the cross- border area of the Eastern Pyrenees, acting in a coordinated manner between the two regions | The Mediterranean Pyrenees form a geographical unit differentiated from the rest of the Pyrenean massif. The easternmost massifs are located in a high-risk area for forest fires. This risk has been increasing with the progressive abandonment of crops and pastures that has produced an increase in the masses, being more combustible and more continuous. The cross-border area of the eastern Pyrenees is very susceptible to a large forest fire, especially due to situations of wind coming from the north, the so-called north wind | Fire prevention planning for the L'Albera massif, Cap de Creus and surroundings: the transfer of information (cartographic and documentary) between the two regions and the adaptation and extension of existing fire prevention plans. A pilot experience in the maintenance of arboreal firebreaks is also planned, wine and pastoral, focusing mainly on the wine sector of Banyuls (France); Materialization or improvement of priority forest fire prevention infrastructures: Execution of the infrastructures considered the highest priority. The works may be the opening or improvement of low fuel load areas, the construction or improvement of roads and the adaptation of accessible water points for land and air means. In addition, a pilot experience to promote the maintenance of vineyards as firebreak areas with means that respect the environment; Dissemination on the prevention of forest fires | The repair of a border water point for firefighting in Portbou has been carried out, which did not have an autonomous supply, now it has a pipeline from the French water company, which feeds it continuously; Installation of new standardized hydrants in each territory so that it can be used by the Catalan and French extinguishing systems indistinctly; Drafting of an executive project in the Coll de Banyuls (Rabós d'Empordà); First planning process of the 73 ha divided into various plots of action to adapt them. A first group of units (28.3 ha) has been divided into sectors that were initially planned with prescribed burning, but with the approval of the project they will be carried out through manual and mechanized clearing | http://agricultur a.gencat.cat/es/ ambits/medi- natural/gestio- forestal/dar obr es forestals/dar prevencio incen dis/dar princalb/ |

| Project: FORRISKThis project aims to identify, in each of the participatingThe risks that weigh on the forest know no borders. ThisThe project is structured into three groups of technical tasks (GT 2, 3 and 4), two groups of management tasksInstitutional tools for t management: Twelve recommendations for forest protection;2014regions, the measureshighlights the need to take stockCGT 1 and 5) and a group of tasks (GT 6).Tools adapted to stan management: Installat | forest risk http://forrisk.efia |
|--|--|
| hazardmanage the identified andmeasures that can be taken in ainventory and analysis of the existing tools for risk management, riskmethods of combating (ecological, genetic an Decision-making toolsLocation:foreseeableconcerted way betweenanticipation and risk monitoring were carried out. In addition, the efficiency of the tools and the existence of aDecision-making toolsNorthwest ofproposeneighbouring neighbouringof the tools and the existence of aToxicity risk analysis of | key tlantic.efi.int/?la improving ng=fr nd-level risk ng=fr nd-level risk ng risks nd forestry); ls embedded in rest growth: of adaptive soil |
| Portugal, Northwest of southwest ofrisks, and to proposebetween neighbouring countries and regions to prevent the spread of certain types of forest damage.carried out. In addition, the efficiency of the tools and the existence of a multi-risk approach were evaluated; Second stage: Comparison of existing tools in the regions studied in the project;models simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o management strategie tools in the regions studied in the project;models simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o management strategie tools in the regions studied in the project;models simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o management strategie tools in the regions studied in the project;models simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o management strategie tools in the regions studied in the project;models simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o management strategie tools in the regions studied in the project;models simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o management of tools in the regions studied in the project;models simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o tools in the regions of existing tools in South-West Europe were suggested and a methodology for effective inter-regional cooperation was proposedModels simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o tools in South-West Europe were suggested and a methodology for effective inter-regional cooperation was proposedmodels simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o tools in South-West Europe were suggested and a methodology for effective inter-regional cooperation was proposedmodels simulating for Toxicity risk analysis o tools in South-West Europe were suggested and a methodology for effective inter-regional cooperation th | rest growth: of adaptive soil ies; Evaluation of on and radiata que Country; s of erosion and ients in is in Portugal; wind and fire in based on the luate the impact ral practices on f maritime pine, |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|---|--|
| Landscape Fire | To develop large-scale | The LIFE Landscape Fire | Expansion of the study on the classification and typification of | Training: Practical sessions for training and accreditation in | <u>https://life.cimvd</u> l.pt/objetivos/ |
| Financing: | measures to | Project aims to | Major Forest Fires, with a view to | Controlled Fire; Introduction to | |
| European Union | prevent forest | contribute to | enriching a database of the history of | Integral Management and Advanced | |
| LIFE Program | fires, conserve | reducing the | this disturbance in the landscape; | Grazing Planning; delivery of | |
| 2019-2022 | biodiversity, | impact of forest | Development of actions with the use | equipment to Controlled Fire | |
| | increase forest | fires, both in | of fire associated with pastoral | Technicians; Pastoral Action Planning | |
| Target: Wildfires | resilience, train | terms of carbon | activity, to identify the best | Techniques, Invasive species | |
| | decision-makers | emissions into the | techniques and prescription | | |
| Location: Viseu | on the benefits | atmosphere and | parameters for the improvement of | | |
| Dão Lafões | of prevention, as | the loss of | pastures; | | |
| (Portugal) and | well as identify | biodiversity, while | Reconstruction and analysis of large | | |
| Extremadura | one of the | reducing their | forest fires and application of | | |
| (Spain) | options for local | economic and | propagation simulators; | | |
| | adaptation that | social impact | Develop a Forestry Management | | |
| | will make it | | Plan, through experimentation with | | |
| | possible to | | pilot areas; | | |
| | identify and | | Expansion of the study on the | | |
| | propose actions | | classification and typification of | | |
| | to reduce the | | Major Forest Fires; | | |
| | current and | | Training of operators and technicians | | |
| | future territorial | | on the use of fire in prevention and | | |
| | vulnerability of | | suppression | | |
| | the region | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|---|-----------------------|
| ROCKTHEALPS | The overall | In natural hazard | Worpackage T1 - ROCK-EU: | Three outcomes based on the timing | https://www.al |
| | objective of the | management and | Development of an innovative AS | of results have been achieved by the | <u>pine-</u> |
| Financing: | project | disaster risk | rockfall assessment methodology | end of the project duration: | <u>space.org/proj</u> |
| European Union | RockTheAlps | reduction | using harmonized criteria and | Operational: production and | ects/rocktheal |
| via INTERREG | has been to | worldwide, but | objective data; | dissemination of 1) the first entire | <u>ps/en/home</u> |
| Alpine Space | reinforce and | especially in the | Worpackage T2 - TORRID: | Alpine Space harmonized mapping of | |
| 2016-2019 | strengthen the | Alpine Space, | Construction of the first AS Toolbox | rockfall risk and protection forest, 2) | |
| | implementation | forests are | for assessing the protective effect of | protection forest management | |
| Target: Rockfall | of rockfall risk | increasingly | forests against rOckfall and | integrative approach; | |
| | prevention | considered equal | expressing the protective role in a | Strategic: generating scenarios and | |
| Location: Alpine | policy and | to technical or | Risk Reduction InDex; | their economic valuation for decision | |
| Space (Italy, | mitigation | civil engineering | Worpackage T3 - Production of the | makers to improve territorial | |
| France, | strategy support | measures. This | first harmonised map of protective | resilience facing with rockfall risk; | |
| Switzerland, | in line with a | project is | services of forest ecosystems against | Policy: production of the first Alpine | |
| Germany, Austria, | sustainable | capitalizing the | rockfall for the entire AS; | Space harmonized statistics on | |
| Slovenia) | forest | current | Worpackage T4 - From the | protection forest ecosystems service, | |
| | management | knowledge and | implementation of an economic | recommendations for developing | |
| | approach | developing | model to the economic assessment | forest based rockfall prevention | |
| | | innovative | of rockfall protection forest | policy. | |
| | | concepts, tools | ecosystems services; | The main results are available via the | |
| | | and | Worpackage T5 - Implementation of | download section of this website | |
| | | methodologies | guidelines, a Territorial Information | | |
| | | for providing the | System and recommendations for | | |
| | | first Alpine Space | sustainably valorise rockfall | | |
| | | regional rockfall | protection forest ecosystems services | | |
| | | risk zoning tool | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| AA-FLOODS | The overall | Floods are | Prevention: It begins with a analysis | Guidelines to achieve a better | http://aafloods |
| | objective is to | Climate Change | of the Atlantic Area policies against | Coordination between Territorial, | <u>.eu/</u> |
| Financing: | reduce human | phenomena that | Floods, then development of | Urban and Risks Management | |
| INTERREG VB | and material | most people | procedures and regulations for the | Planning; | |
| Atlantic Area | damages due to | affect in the | coordination between urban | Pilot regulations and tools for | |
| 2014-2020 | flooding by | Atlantic Area. In | planning and risk prevention, | enhanced design of sanitation | |
| | improving the | the EU costs | improve local communities resilience, | networks based on modelling | |
| Target: Floods | tools of | overpass 1,500 | Pilot 1 – Torrential Rains RunOff at | torrential rains runoff and networks | |
| | Prevention, Alert | lives and 52,000 | Local Scale and Local Action Plans for | evacuation; | |
| Location: | and Crisis | M€ over the past | Flood Prevention & Emergency | Models for Local Emergency Plans | |
| Portugal, Spain, | Management | 20 years | Management; | against Floods to Reduce human and | |
| France, United | the Local Scale | | Early warning: Development | material losses through Local Scale | |
| Kingdom, Ireland | | | Protocols to access Early-Alerts EFAS | Flood Risks Management; | |
| | | | / COPERNICUS data and Pilot 2 – | Guide to implement rivers overflow | |
| | | | Rivers overflow early warning System | monitoring system for Early-Warning. | |
| | | | Crisis Management: Pilot 3- | Target groups are National, Regional | |
| | | | Reservoirs' Water Discharges | and Local Authorities; | |
| | | | Management, Improving the | Protocol for Reservoirs' management | |
| | | | Coordination among Emergency | to Plan, Manage & Coordinate water | |
| | | | Management bodies and Promote | discharges; | |
| | | | the use of real time information in | Enhanced Coordination Protocols for | |
| | | | Response Planning; | an Improvement in the rapid | |
| | | | Assistance and recovery: Two | response of the Intervention and | |
| | | | Activities, first The Improvement of | Emergency Corps for Harm | |
| | | | the evacuation protocols and second | Reduction | |
| | | | Attention procedures. Health and | | |
| | | | Shelter | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| INUNDATIO | This project | INUNDATIO | Development of the methodology for | It is expected the creation of a model | http://www.inu |
| | aims to create a | monitors, in real | collecting data from watershed | that can be replicated and scalable | <u>ndatio.eu/</u> |
| Financing: | system, based | time, the | headwaters; | throughout the SUDOE territory for | |
| INTERREG VB | on new | headwaters of | Definition of the methodology for | managing flash floods in headwaters | |
| South West | technologies | rivers and | the analysis of watershed headwaters | of basins, which will include: | |
| Europe 2019-2022 | and big data, | torrents, where | data; | Method of geomorphological and | |
| | monitoring the | the behaviour of | Monitoring of the Veneno Claro | hydrological representation of the | |
| Target: Floods | headwaters of | meteorological | basins in in Navaluenga (Ávila), | headwaters of the basin supported | |
| | the river basins, | phenomena is less | Ribeira das Vinhas (Serra de Sintra, | by GIS tools; | |
| Location: | through sensors, | predictable. Three | Cascais), Gave de Pau Amont and | Flood prevention plans adapted to | |
| Portugal, Spain, | which will | pilot cases have | Nive (French Basque Country); | climate change; | |
| France | gather | been chosen to | Definition of action mechanism in the | Risk analysis tool through real-time | |
| | hydrometeorolo | represent the | face of catastrophes | data collection; | |
| | gical | three climatic | | Flood scenario projection tool with | |
| | information, to | areas of the | | impact assessment; | |
| | control in real | Sudoe territory | | Protection plans in the event of | |
| | time the river | (oceanic, | | flooding; | |
| | flow or the rain | Mediterranean | | Reconstruction plans updated to the | |
| | forecast. The | and high | | techniques of restoration of historic | |
| | information will | mountain) | | buildings; | |
| | be transferred to | | | Recommendations to increase | |
| | a platform that | | | resilience | |
| | will additionally | | | | |
| | offer simulations | | | | |
| | of possible risk | | | | |
| | scenarios | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activitios | Posults | Wabsitas |
|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|----------------------|
| | The objective is | The project stores | The project is carried out in three | The H4 corridor is the most | http://capyra.c |
| JAFTNA | to roduce the | from the need to | nhe project is carried out in three | important work of the project | om/2lang_fr |
| Financing | intensity and | improve access | phases. | avalanche rick provention work was | <u>om/:iang-n</u> |
| | frequency of the | hotwoon France | Implementation: | avaianche risk prevention work was | <u>mups.//www.id</u> |
| INTERREG V-A | irequency of the | between France | Implementation, | Anderre but the U.A. Corpure Drainet and | <u>CEDOOK.COM/Sa</u> |
| Spain - France - | avalanche | and Andorra and | | Andorra by the H4 Sapyra Project; | <u>pyrazu17.2020</u> |
| Andorra | phenomena that | seeks to promote | i ne project also uses three | Environmental study in the work area; | Δ |
| (POCTEFA) 2014- | threaten the | the sustainable | interventions: | Projected new protection works in | https://www.yo |
| 2020 | Pyrenean | development of | Active protection - to prevent | the H2 avalanche corridor on the RN- | utube.com/cha |
| | accesses in | the border | avalanches | 20; | nnel/UCKY- |
| larget: Landslide | Andorra and | territory between | Passive protection - to deflect | Installation of battens in corridors | <u>GUHicYG6xXm</u> |
| | France | Spain, Andorra | avalanches | Pe9 (Porté Puymorens) and Pan13 | <u>M1B3QlJw</u> |
| Location: Spain, | | and France | warning devices | (Puerta), where about 400 ml are | |
| France, Andorra | | | Activities to finish the project: | installed | |
| | | | Identification of landslide exit zones | | |
| | | | based on the analysis of the | | |
| | | | topographical characteristics of the | | |
| | | | corridor; | | |
| | | | Prioritization of the outlet areas to be | | |
| | | | treated according to the frequency | | |
| | | | and intensity of historical landslides | | |
| | | | observed in the corridor (50 years); | | |
| | | | Dimensioning of the structures and | | |
| | | | their height according to the | | |
| | | | nivological context of the corridor | | |
| | | | <u>_</u> | | |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| PIRAGUA Financing: INTERREG V-A Spain - France - Andorra (POCTEFA) 2018-2020 Target: Climate change Location: French- Spanish-Andorran border | The main objective of the project is to improve the adaptation of territories to climate change | The Pyrenees, a mountain range stretching from the Atlantic to the Mediterranean is particularly vulnerable to climate change. PIRAGUA addresses the characterisation of the hydrological cycle in the Pyrenees in order to improve the adaptation capacity of the territories to the challenges imposed by climate change and to support the investment aimed at adapting water resource management to climate change | Territorial and organizational approach: Coordination of information, tools and conceptual framework for the integral and cross-border management of water resources; Technological approach: Different technological challenges (simulation tools and scenarios, etc). The case studies deal with innovative adaptation actions in specific contexts of water and land management | PIRAGUA will provide results on the scale of the Pyrenees (2 global studies and 1 common strategy), as well as results on a local scale (7 case studies). It will associate the local and regional stakeholders with the basin organizations, so that their results are likely to benefit the whole territory | https://www.opc c- ctp.org/en/pirag ua https://twitter.co m/opcc_ctp |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|---|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| TERRISC | This project aimed to study | From the need to revitalize a set of | Experimentation and inventory of six hydrographic basins, spread over the | The project presents the following management measures: | https://www.uc. pt/fluc/nicif/Proj |
| FEDER 2002-2006 | terraces and assess their | agricultural structures, an | Arganil and Seia. The inventory of the terraces was carried | perimeters under public administration, favouring native or edaphoclimatically | <u>ais/terrisc/Meto</u> dologia |
| Target: Mass movement | degree of degradation, in order to provide | ambitious project emerged within the Mediterranean | out using military maps from the Instituto Geográfico do Exército, aerial photographs from 1958 and | adapted species; Compartmentalization of space through the introduction of forest management (DFCI infrastructure) | |
| Location: Six sub- basins belonging to the hydrographic | each municipality in the study area with elements that | countries, to make known the importance of | orthophotomaps from 2004, which allowed the vectorization of the terraces to be carried out using the ArcGis 9.1 | throughout the area; Encouraging private landowners to reorganize and clean their forests. | |
| basins of the Alvoco river and the Pomares stream, | can enable them to justify and act in the | terraces. Agricultural terraces are structures that | software. Erosion plots were also installed, where samples of material from the runoff were | Preservation: Creation of a professional masonry training course; Restoration of some built heritage; Development of | |
| located in the municipality of Arganil, in the | revitalization of these regions | allow man to develop agricultural activities in the | periodically collected, which were subject to laboratory treatment. Each plot has a standardized area of 2.5 m2. | routes of ethnographic interest; Olive oil routes with the recovery of mills; Mountain gastronomy routes, through | |
| municipality of Oliveira do Hospital and in the | | most inhospitable places. At the same | The main components of the erosion plot are a collection chute that stores the solid | the valorisation of regional products; Identification of the main trails travelled in the past by shopperds with their cattle | |
| municipality of Seia | | the triggering of earth movements | totalizer, capable of storing 30 liters of runoff water. | Itinerary: A set of tourist routes was developed that include the Protected | |
| | | on slopes, through soil permeability | Finally, meteorological stations were installed in each of the municipalities, with continuous readings, at 30-minute | Landscape of Serra do Açor and the Serra da Estrela Natural Park. This route is intended to encourage tourists to travel | |
| | | | intervals | along these paths and thus contribute to revitalizing these regions and boosting the local economy | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|--|-----------------------|
| Montado-Adapt | The main | LIFE Montado- | The process of development of the | The 1st group of expected results consists | https://www.life |
| | objective is to | Adapt is a project to | Integrated Land Use has 11 steps: | in: Establishment of Integrated Land Use | <u>montadoadapt.c</u> |
| Financing: LIFE | mitigate the | promote the | (1) Evaluation of pilot areas; (2) Definition | systems on 1250 hectares; a 10% | <u>om/index.php</u> |
| Porgram 2014-2020 | consequences of | adaptation of the | of indicators and current situation; (3) | improved presence of indicator species | https://www.face |
| | climate change, | Montado/Dehesa | Development of the ILU systems; (4) | for bird species and butterflies and a | book.com/lifem |
| Target: Climate | increasing the | system in Portugal | Training of owners/partners; (5) | restored plant diversity and structural | <u>ontadoadapt/</u> |
| change | sustainability at an | and Spain. The | Implementation of ILU in the 12 pilot | complexity matching habitat | https://twitter.co |
| | economic, social | project support the | areas; (6) Cooperation considering | requirements for the Iberian Lynx; at least | <u>m/lifemontado</u> |
| Location: Spain and | and | owners and | market; (7) Replication - Development of | 8 viable income sources for domestic and | |
| Portugal | environmental | managers of land in | ILU in other interested properties; (8) | international markets, 150 Euro per | |
| | level | the implementation | Implementation of certifications in the | hectare (or 300%) farmer income | |
| | | of the Integrated | pilot areas; (9) Marketing for products | increase, an employment increase of 1 | |
| | | Land Use (ILU) | coming from the ILU; (10) Evaluation of | FTE per 10 hectares and an overall IRR | |
| | | | results; (11) Recommendations to public | increase to at least 6 %; Carbon | |
| | | | policies and widespread dissemination | sequestration increase of 1 ton CO ₂ -e per | |
| | | | | hectare. | |
| | | | | The second group of expected results | |
| | | | | consists in: Established/Inclusion of self- | |
| | | | | supporting commercial company; 11 | |
| | | | | project partners trained as promoters; | |
| | | | | Signed at least 10 cooperation | |
| | | | | agreements with commercial partners; | |
| | | | | Preparation of Group Certification | |
| | | | | Process; Created synergies with public | |
| | | | | and private entities; Created networks for | |
| | | | | dissemination | |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|--|----------------------|
| AMDRYC4 | The main | LIFE AMDRYC4 | The project actions contemplated in the | Some expected results: | http://lifeamdryc |
| | objective is the | presents a new | project are carried out in 4 experimental | Guidelines for the application of | <u>4.eu/en/</u> |
| Financing: European | promotion of | approach to | plots located in different points of the | accountability methodologies for carbon | https://www.face |
| Union LIFE Program | resilience to | promote natural | Region of Murcia: | and ecosystem services for climate | book.com/LIFEA |
| 2017-2022 | dryland | solutions for the | a) Preparatory actions; | change mitigation; | MDRYC4/ |
| | agriculture climate | adaptation to | b) Implementation actions; | Carbon sequestration models; | https://twitter.co |
| Target: Climate | in Mediterranean | climate change of | c) Monitoring of the impact actions; | CO ₂ sequestration activities related to | <u>m/LIFE_AMDRYC</u> |
| change | areas and its | dryland agricultural | d) Communication and dissemination of | management of dryland farming soils in | <u>4</u> |
| | sustainable, | systems in the | results; | the Mediterranean area increased at the | |
| Location: El | intelligent and | Mediterranean area. | e) Project management | rate of 20 tonnes, achieving a total | |
| Moralejo (Caravaca | integrated | | | removal of CO ₂ estimated at 1 000 tonnes | |
| de la Cruz), Xiquena | management, as a | | | during the project; | |
| (Lorca), Nogalte | basic tool for | | | Twenty companies and institutions | |
| (Lorca), Corvera | adapting to | | | voluntarily implementing measures of | |
| (Murcia) | climate change | | | calculation, reduction and offsetting their | |
| | based on | | | carbon footprint; | |
| | ecosystems (EbA) | | | Voluntary agreements catalysing an | |
| | and strengthening | | | offsetting market for carbon and | |
| | its mitigating role | | | ecosystem services; | |
| | as carbon sinks | | | Reduced soil loss by 9-48 tonnes per year | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|---|-------------------------|
| GREENRISK4ALPS | GreenRisk4ALPs | GreenRisk4ALPs | Main activities | WP1 - The main output is the | <u>https://www.alpi</u> |
| | project aims to | facilities risk-based | WP1 - PRONA: New tools on Protective | PROTECTIVE FOREST ASSESSMENT TOOL | <u>ne-</u> |
| Financing: | overcome | protective forest | forest and natural hazard assessment: The | (FAT) - a new decision support tool for | <u>space.org/projec</u> |
| INTERREG Alpine | conflicts and | management as | goal of this activity was to collect, review | risk-based forest management; | <u>ts/greenrisk4alp</u> |
| Space 2018-2021 | resistances with | part of an | and summarize the available research and | WP2 - The outcome is an Alpine-wide | <u>s/en/home</u> |
| | new risk | integrated and | information on protective forests and | map of decision structures of involved | |
| Target: Multi-hazard | mitigation | ecosystem-based | their management and to discuss the | and relevant actors; | |
| | alternatives and | natural hazard risk | interactions between climate, forest and | WP3 - One of the results is the risk | |
| Location: Kranjska | science-based | management in the | natural hazards changes; | analysis and the strategy prioritization; | |
| Gora (Solvenia), Val | communication | Alpine Space | WP2 - ACTINA: Actors involvement and | WP4 - Road map for a multiple actor and | |
| Ferret (Italy), The | support; to | | network analysis: The objectives were to | decision targeted information process; | |
| Southern Wipptal | implement | | provide the socio-economic foundation | WP5 - A summary presentation of | |
| (Italy), | innovative | | for an ecosystem-based risk management | concepts for overcoming national barriers | |
| Oberammergau, | ecosystem-based | | in the Alpine Space by considering the | and constraints of ecosystem-based risk | |
| Bavaria (Germany), | risk management | | increase of risks from natural hazards; | management as well as our two | |
| Gries am | for natural | | WP3 - DORA: Decision oriented risk | PROTECTIVE FOREST BOOKS | |
| Brenner/Vals | hazards and to | | assessment: The main objective of DORA | | |
| (Austria), and Parc | create protective | | was to introduce an innovative | | |
| des Baronnies | forest books | | ecosystem-based risk mitigation concept; | | |
| (France) | | | WP4 - ACRI: Acceptance raising for | | |
| | | | ecosystem-based risk control measures: | | |
| | | | To increase the awareness of political, | | |
| | | | economic, and social factors for | | |
| | | | ecosystem-based solutions for risk | | |
| | | | mitigation and management on multiple | | |
| | | | levels; | | |
| | | | WP5 - RIGOR: Risk governance support: | | |
| | | | To provide recommendations and | | |
| | | | concepts for improving narmonized and | | |
| | | | efficient ecosystem-based natural hazard | | |
| | | | Tisk governance in the Alpine Space | | |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--|---|--------------|
| RISC_ML | RISC_ML aims to | Greater knowledge | 1) Analysis of the basin at the | Activity 1 | http://risc- |
| - | better understand | of the international | geographical and hydrological level; | New mapping of the international | ml.eu/ |
| Financing: | the Miño-Limia | hydrographic | 2) Drought plan for the international | demarcation | |
| INTERREG V-A | international river | demarcation, the | demarcation of Miño-Limia; | Hydrological modelling for the | |
| Spain - Portugal | basin district, | establishment of | 3) New early warning system against | international demarcation considering | |
| (POCTEP) 2014- | improve drought | mechanisms for the | floods in the international demarcation; | climate change for the periods 2011- | |
| 2020 | management | joint management | 4) Basin control system and natural | 2040, 2041-2070 and 2070-2100 | |
| | mechanisms and | of droughts and | retention measures against flooding | Modelling of water resources | |
| Target: Multi-hazard | establish an early | early warning | | management in the river basin district | |
| | warning system to | against floods will | | Activity 2 | |
| Location: Rivers | assess the real risk | allow greater | | Databases of drought indices on | |
| Miño and Limia in | of flooding | preparation and | | meteorological data measured in situ and | |
| Spain and Portugal | | response capacity in | | another based on products derived from | |
| | | the territory against | | satellite images | |
| | | them | | Reliable indicator system fully adapted to | |
| | | | | the area of study and design of state | |
| | | | | indexes | |
| | | | | Joint technical drought plan for the entire | |
| | | | | International Demarcation of Miño-Limia | |
| | | | | Activity 3 | |
| | | | | Improvement of available data | |
| | | | | Modelling and station database | |
| | | | | Flood early warning system for the entire | |
| | | | | International Demarcation | |
| | | | | Activity 4 | |
| | | | | Real-time hydrometeorological and water | |
| | | | | quality monitoring stations with | |
| | | | | communication systems in the Miño- | |
| | | | | Limia river basins | |
| | | | | New communications and data storage | |
| | | | | system for the international demarcation | |
| | | | | Projects for natural retention measures in | |
| | | | | the face of floods | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| URBAN KLIMA 2050 | The strategy | Urban Klima 2050 is | Analyse: Review of the KLIMA 2050 | One of the results was the inventory, | <u>https://urbankli</u> |
| | proposes actions | the Basque | strategy and roadening the climate risk | cartography, classification and | <u>ma2050.eu/eu/</u> |
| Financing: European | aimed both at | Country's most | analysis in the Basque Country; | description of the NBS. The catalogue | https://twitter.co |
| Union LIFE Program | adaptation, | significant climate | Define: Establishment of guidelines for | includes: 79 interventions in urban and | <u>m/urbanklima20</u> |
| 2019-2025 | making changes | action project for | planning; Integration of climate change | suburban parks, streets, squares and | <u>50/</u> |
| | in the territory, | the next few years. | into territorial and urban planning; | streams, on a surface of 440 ha. Some of | https://www.you |
| Target: Climate | towns, | This project | Integration of climate change into | the most frequent actions are: tree- | <u>tube.com/chann</u> |
| change | infrastructure, etc. | operates in the | sectorial policies | planting streets and squares, the | <u>el/UCChNumkLx</u> |
| | in order to | three main Basque | Act: Pilot projects at three levels of | application of sustainable gardening | NIAPevv681uJ5 |
| Location: three | mitigate the | cities, as well as in | intervention: | techniques, the creation of lamination | Q |
| main Basque cities, | consequences of | other urban and | 1) Design and implement Nature-Based | ponds and avenue channels, the | |
| as well as in other | climate change, | rural municipalities | Solutions (NBS) at the municipal level and | installation of orchards and urban forests, | |
| urban and rural | and at climate | (Spain) and it will | implementing seven pilot projects to | the conditioning of green itineraries. | |
| municipalities | change mitigation, | have an impact on | improve resilience in the local area, and | Potential map of NBS of Donostia/San | |
| (Spain) | proposing | 7.234 km2 on | also pilot projects on different sources of | Sebastian; | |
| | measures that will | 2.164.311 people | renewable energy; | Study of electric and bicycle-specific | |
| | reduce | | 2) Implementing NBS in several rivers in | mobility - Nervión Linear Park and | |
| | greenhouse gas | | all three territories to prevent future | Zadorra Green Route; | |
| | emissions | | flooding | Analysis of the state of the art on | |
| | | | 3) Implementing tools to prevent risks in | empowerment and commitment of the | |
| | | | coastal areas and a pilot project in | population | |
| | | | Zarauts; Conducting a strategic analysis | | |
| | | | of the current condition of seaports in the | | |
| | | | Basque Country and their climate risk; | | |
| | | | Empower: Driver the commitment and | | |
| | | | empowerment of the population; | | |
| | | | Promoting the empowerment of the | | |
| | | | administration: | | |
| | | | Manage: Building structures for climate | | |
| | | | governance | | |
| | | | 5 | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| CANOPEE Financing: POCTEFA INTERREG 65% of FEDER co-financing 2016-2019 Target: Climate change Location: Pyrenean forests (France and Spain) | The main objective is to ensure, the long- term future of the current and future goods and services provided by the forest ecosystems in the Pyrenees | This project provided forest managers and owners and institutional officials with a series of indicators regarding the impacts of climate change on Pyrenean forest stands: monitoring of the phenology of the various forest species found in the Pyrenees, changes in defoliation and distribution | OBSERVE: understand the effects of climate change on Pyrenean forests and use this knowledge as a decision-making tool; DIAGNOSE: changes in the distribution of forest species found in the Pyrenees and diagnosis of the vulnerability of Pyrenean forests with respect to climate change; ACT: propose and implement appropriate measures to help vulnerable areas adapt to the effects of climate change. | Improve our understanding of the effects of climate change on Pyrenean forests as a decision-making tool; Develop tools for diagnosing the vulnerability of Pyrenean forests with respect to climate change; Put together a set of forestry adaptation measures to cope with climate change in the most vulnerable areas | https://www.opc c- ctp.org/en/cano pee https://twitter.co m/opcc_ctp |
| SUSTAINHUTS Financing: European Union LIFE Program 2016-2021 Target: Climate change Location: The 12 mountain huts linked to SustainHuts are located in Spain (6), Slovenia (3), France (1) and Italy (1) | This project aims to reduce CO ₂ emissions in natural environments acting in huts by implementing novel and original renewable energy based solutions | Sustainable project improves the sustainability of mountain refuges, through the integration of renewable energies in 12 huts of 4 European countries | Preparatory actions; Purchase/lease of land; Implementation actions (no actions in SUSTAINHUTS); Monitoring of the impact of the project actions; Communication and dissemination of results; Project management | Expected results: To achieve a 20% improvement in energy efficiency, a reduction of carbon dioxide emissions of 10 tonnes per year and hut, as well as nitrogen oxides in 0.06 tonnes per year and hut. It is also expected to reduce in up to 15 per year the helicopter flights required to supply the fuel to the huts | <u>http://sustainhut</u> <u>s.eu/</u> |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| eFIRECOM Financing: Co- funded by ECHO - Humanitarian Aid and Civil Protection 2015-2016 Target: Wildfires Location: Interface areas from the Mediterranean region (Spain, France, Tunisia, | eFIRECOM aims at enhancing the resilience of citizens to wildfires, through effectively promoting and increasing awareness and participation on the culture of risk with updated knowledge and best practices. | In the Mediterranean region, social vulnerability and the cost of civil protection actions are intensified by the increasing risk of forest fires affecting urban and peri-urban areas due to land use changes and climate change | Review on tools and best practices on wildfire risk communication; Specific development of communication programs and tools per each target audience and regional context; Knowledge capitalization and transfer tools | Development of a communication toolkit for the capacity building of citizens and communities towards wildfire risk prevention, adapted to three target audiences: i) Communities and municipalities, ii) Scholars, youths and their teachers, iii) Journalists and media professionals. Edition and dissemination of operational and strategic recommendations for the improvement of the communication on risk and reduction of social vulnerability to wildfires in Mediterranean areas, transferred to the relevant authorities | http://efirecom.c tfc.cat/?lang=em |
| PREFER Financing: European Community's Seventh Framework Programme ([FP7/2007e2013]) under G. A. nr. 312931. Target: Wildfires Location: Portugal, Spain, France, Italy and Greece | The main purpose is to set up a space-based service infrastructure and up-to-date cartographic products, based on remote sensing data, to support the preparedness, prevention, recovery and reconstruction phases of the wildfires in the European Mediterranean | Reports on the state of Europe's forests indicate that the broad Mediterranean area is systematically affected by uncontrolled forest fires whit a negative mid-to-long term prospect because of Climate Change. In this scenario, the need to improve the the intelligence support to wildfires prevention is widely relevant | The products developed were based on the exploitation of data from the Copernicus space infrastructure, and the integration of different data types from a variety of sources, such as earth observation, digital terrain models, socioeconomic data, meteorological data and in-situ data | A set of cartographic tools in Mediterranean Europe: Preparedness/prevention service phase: Fuel map, fuel reduction map, prescribed fire map, daily fire hazard map, seasonal fire hazard map, vulnerability map and economic value, seasonal risk map. Recovery/reconstruction service phase: Post-fire recovery vegetation map, damage severity map, 3D fire vegetation volume loss map, burned scar map | https://cordis.eu ropa.eu/project/i d/312931 |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| LIFETEC Financing: European Commission within the LIFE programme. Target: Wildfires Location: Portugal and Spain | LIFETEC aims at improving forest fire fighting using Electronic and Communications Technologies to reduce the detection time of forest fires and to improve the efficiency of the fighting forces ensuring communications and geolocation | Early detection of forest fires and reliable and robust communication and geolocation systems between firefighting brigades and between those brigades and coordination centres are fundamental to ensuring rapid and efficient intervention that minimizes not only the damage caused by fires but also the cost of extinguishing them | Real-time monitoring of atmospheric refractivity was carried out by using measurements provided by the meteorological radars in Cuntis, in Galicia, operated by Meteogalicia, and in Arouca, in Portugal, operated by the IPMA. Several prototypes have been developed of the TETRA-based communications and location system. Other communications systems are not needed (telephone networks, Wi-Fi, etc.). The firefighters of the brigade in the Deza and Tabeirós districts of Terra de Montes have tested the prototype of the geolocation system that has been developed | A method for the early detection of forest fires by weather radars A management system based on TETRA and Android for the real time geolocation of firefighting resources | https://lifetec.uvi go.es/en/layman -report/ |
| | | | | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Project OPEN2PRESERVE Financing: Project financed 75% by the European Regional Development Fund Target: Wildfires Location: Portugal. | Objectives The main objective of the OPEN2PRESERVE project is to connect current interdisciplinary scientific knowledge with technology and practical | Description The project proposes starting eight regional pilot experiences based on the combination of guided herbivory and initial techniques to reduce fuel through controlled burns. All | Activities Samplings for the calibration of surface vegetation (phytovolume) Drone flights to measure the phytovolume of the shrub mass before controlled burning Phytovolume sampling in the field Detailed monitoring of soil and vegetation and control of the state of growth and welfare of grazing animals during the experience | Results The traditional management of domestic herbivores in western Pyrenees, characterized by a mixed grazing of sheep, cattle and horses, with limited animal guidance and stocking rates ranging from 1 to 3 LU·ha-1· 6 months-1, is adequate to preserve the high diversity and ecological value of grasslands, preventing the cascade of divergent degradative processes that | Websites <u>https://open2pr</u> <u>eserve.eu/en/pre</u> <u>sentacion/</u> |
| Spain and France | practical operation, in order to implement and assess combined techniques that guarantee the preservation of the ecosystem services linked to open spaces with high natural value | the experiences seek to offer innovative solutions that guarantee the economic feasibility of the commitment and can serve as an example and training for the execution of similar initiatives at local and regional level | Equing the experience Equine in directed grazing monitored by GPS | occur in the total absence of herbivory | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| MIXFORCHANGE | The main aim of | The silviculture | A total of 27 Actions will be implemented, | Training and capacity building actions | http://www.mixf |
| | the Project is to | method developed | from Preparatory to Management and | Ecological, dasometric and | <u>orchange.eu/en/</u> |
| Financing: LIFE | contribute to the | during the project | project progress monitoring activities. | socioeconomic assessment methodology | <u>about-the-</u> |
| Programm | adaptation to | has | Implementation of innovative | Climate change vulnerability maps | project/actions/ |
| | climate change of | been applied on | management schemes in forests | A series of actions aimed at promoting | |
| Target: Climate | European | 164 ha of mixed | dominated by Quercus ilex subsp. ilex, | the adoption of the silvicultural principles | |
| change | Subhumid | sub-humid | Castanea sativa, oaks (Quercus petraea, | developed in the project in new areas and | |
| | Mediterranean | Mediterranean | Q. pubescens, Q. canariensis) and Pinus | forests: | |
| Location: Spain | mixed forests by | forests: holm oak | sp, in a total of 164 ha. | Technical manual - Adaptive and close- | |
| | increasing their | forests, chestnut | Developing new tools to improve the | tonature management in mixed sub- | |
| | resilience, | forests, oak forests | economics of Subhumid Mediterranean | humid Mediterranean forests (2022) | |
| | ensuring their | and pine forests, in | forest management. | Protocol for the ecological assessment of | |
| | conservation and | four geographical | Tools to integrate the adaptation of Sub- | the demonstrative silvicultural | |
| | enhancing their | areas in Catalonia | humid Mediterranean forests to climate | interventions | |
| | productive, | (northeast Spain) | change into the policy and legal | Protocol for the silvicultural assessment | |
| | environmental | | framework. Vulnerability models, | of forest interventions | |
| | and social | | technical and policy guidelines, review, | Protocol for standing timber quality | |
| | functions | | and adaptation of legal framework. | assessment of valuable broadleaf species | |
| | | | Evaluation of the ecological and | (2020) | |
| | | | silvicultural effects of the innovative | | |
| | | | forest models, and of the socioeconomic | | |
| | | | impact of the project | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|--|---|--|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| RISK-AQUASOIL Financing: Co- financed by the European Regional Development Fund Target: Multi-hazard Location: Portugal, Spain, France, Ireland, The United Kingdom | The RiskAquaSoil project aims to develop a comprehensive management plan for risks in soil and in water to improve the resilience of the Atlantic rural areas. Through transnational cooperation, the Project partners will fight the adverse effects of climate change, especially on agricultural lands | The Atlantic Area presents high exposure to climate change. Increased intensity and frequency of storms, altered hydrological cycle and changes in temperature and precipitation patterns have implications for the agriculture sector. However, there are still huge uncertainties in the way climate change will directly and indirectly affect agricultural and food systems. The project will contribute to a better coordination for the detection, risk management and rehabilitation for rural territories, associated to risks of natural, climate and human origin. It will also ensure articulation with policies | A study of Iberian media coverage on climate change: This study was conducted through four main steps regarding its design: 1) definition of a research equation, 2) definition of a time frame, 3) selection of most relevant news, and 4) quantitative content analysis Analyse in depth 1609 news published between 2017 and 2018 in five European countries Monitoring program: implemented in catchments with sizes between 20 km2 and 160 km2 in areas 60%–99% burnt. For each catchment a gauging point was selected near the mouth of the river. These 5 gauging points were assessed monthly, starting one month after the fire, in November 2017, and ending in June 2018. In each campaign stream water was sampled for laboratory analysis and in-situ physical-chemical proprieties were measured | A national framing – especially focused on the severe drought experienced in both countries and its subsequent impacts on agriculture – a non- democratic debate and a non-proactive discourse characterized the Iberian news. 2017 rural fires in Portugal caused a burnt area, greater than 400 ha, affected the characteristics of surface waters and noticeable water changes in turbidity, aluminium, iron and manganese were accessed. The European media tends to report climate change by using distant (e.g., future-focused) and outcome (e.g., threatening messages) framings, based on non-resilient, scientific, and political narratives, whilst overlooking the role of civil society on adapting to climate change | https://www.risk aquasoil.eu/pt/ |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|
| MIDMACC | Promotes adaptation | The project is developed in La | Risk prevention in Requesens, Catalonia: The scrubland has been cleared, the | Forest management for fire risk prevention in Requesens, Catalonia and | <u>https://life-</u> midmacc.eu/ |
| Financing: LIFE | through the | Rioja, Aragon and | trunks and branches have been extracted | La Garcipollera, Aragón | |
| Program | implementation | Catalonia, covering | and the logging residues have been | Scrubland clearing in Aragon and La Rioja | |
| | and testing of | diverse bioclimatic | removed. Subsequently, a mixture | Vineyard assays in La Rioja and Catalonia | |
| Target: Climate | different | conditions from the | pasture seeds has been randomly sowed | | |
| change | landscape | subhumid | to recover pastures under the forest area | | |
| | management | Mediterranean of | and an old pasture field (about 3 | | |
| Location: Spain | measures to meet climate change | the Pyrenees to the submediterranean | hectares) has been recovered | | |
| | related challenges | mid-mountains of | Risk prevention in La Garcipollera, | | |
| | in marginal mid- | the Iberian | Aragón: different assays will be carried | | |
| | mountain areas of | Mountain Range. | out over 4 years: (i) different cows | | |
| | Spain (La Rioja, | Adaptation | number will be introduced in the different | | |
| | Aragón and | measures are | plots; (ii) soil measurements will be taken | | |
| | Catalonia), while | implemented in | before and after extensive livestock | | |
| | improving their | pilot areas of the | activity; (iii) vegetation samplings will be | | |
| | socioeconomic | three regions with | carried out also before and after | | |
| | development | diversity of | extensive livestock activity; (iv) seasonal | | |
| | | environmental and | rainfall simulations; and (v) temperature | | |
| | | socioeconomic | and humidity and soil moisture will be | | |
| | | characteristics that | continuously measured in the different | | |
| | | facilitate transfer | plots. | | |
| | | capacity of results | Adaptation measures in the vineyard, | | |
| | | to other | Catalonia: use of different agronomic | | |
| | | mountainous | practices such as green soil coverage, | | |
| | | regions from | slope management (terraces) or training | | |
| | | southern Europe | systems, while maintaining a high level of | | |
| | | | competitiveness and quality. In Catalonia, | | |
| | | | this pilot experience is carried out in | | |
| | | | three vineyards belonging to Celler Espelt | | |
| | | | (Roses, Alt Empordà), Celler cooperatiu | | |
| | | | d'Espolla (Espolla, Alt Empordà) and | | |
| | | | Llivins (Llivia, Cerdanya) | | |

| Project | Objectives | Description | Activities | Results | Websites |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| POLYFARMING | The objective of | The project is | Intensive controlled grazing: the meadow | After three years of applying the | <u>https://polyfarmi</u> |
| | the project is to | concreted in the | is divided into plots of a similar size and | regenerative model in the Planeses | <u>ng.eu/visita-</u> |
| Financing: A project | demonstrate the | start-up of a pilot | the animals are moved every day from | orchard, the results indicate that the farm | <u>virtual/?lang=e</u> |
| co-financed by the | interest of a new | farm, an 80-hectare | the plot they are in to another one that is | orchard is able to sequester up to 30 | <u>m</u> |
| LIFE Program of the | plurifunctional | farm located in the | at the optimum grazing point. | times more CO_2 per year than the | |
| European | agro-silva- | region of La | Agrosilviculture: combining fruit trees | conventional model: and grazing also | |
| Commission | pastoral | Garrotxa, 40 km | and orchards with pastures and animals. | increases CO ₂ capture threefold | |
| | integrated | north of Girona | Cultivated plants are accompanied by | compared with natural pastures. | |
| Target: Climate | management | (Catalonia, Spain). | weeds that, when cut, are left in the soil | In three years, the organic matter in the | |
| change | system as an | Currently, thanks to | to decompose and thus improve soil | soil of the orchard multiplied by two and | |
| | alternative of | the co-financing of | fertility. | and the capacity to retain water increased | |
| Location: Spain | profitable | the LIFE program of | Training actions for producers: Free | by up to 20%. | |
| | management to | the European | manual, informative videos and | The Polyfarming project pilot farm, | |
| | fight against the | Commission, the | replication sessions | Planeses, was an abandoned farm before | |
| | problem of the | Polyfarming system, | Dissemination and communication: Social | implementing the regenerative model. | |
| | abandonment of | which combines the | media, news, and events organized by | Today it is a project that eight people | |
| | agriculture in | resources of the | Polyfarming | work on and has recovered the farm's | |
| | Mediterranean | forest, livestock and | | agricultural and livestock activity. | |
| | mountain areas | crops to recover | | Polyfarming project offers a free manual | |
| | and the | fertile soil, is | | and downloadable sheets so that any | |
| | environmental | implemented in | | producer can apply the different | |
| | (soil degradation, | Planeses to restore | | techniques proposed. | |
| | vulnerability to | the farm activity. | | Polyfarming has also produced | |
| | climate change, | | | informative videos of the Polyfarming | |
| | loss of | | | system and the techniques it applies | |
| | biodiversity) and | | | Training courses: The organisation of | |
| | socio-economic | | | these training courses is carried out by | |
| | consequences (| | | the two partners of the project, CREAF | |
| | loss of productive | | | and PLANESES | |
| | capacity of the | | | | |
| | territory) that this | | | | |
| | abandonment is | | | | |
| | producing | | | | |

ANNEX III – GOOD PRACTICES IN DISASTER RISK MANAGEMENT IN THE SUDOE REGION

| | Types of natural hazards | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| DRM activities | Wildfires | Flooding | Landslides | Mass movement | Rockfall |
| Mitigation | PrescribedburningLivestockgrazingGuidedgrazingWildfirespreventionImprovement of priority forest firepreventioninfrastructuresForestryManagementPlanCommunicationtoolkit for thecapacitybuilding of citizens andcommunitiestowardspreventionControlledGuidedburnsGuidedherbivory (equine and ovinelivestock)burns | Plans for prevention and emergency management Flood risk index | Analysis of the topographical characteristics Construction of a sediment retention basin Identification of the frequency and intensity of historical landslides observed Afforestation and experimental vegetation | Periodic collection of runoff material | Guidelines (sustainably valorise rockfall protection forest ecosystems services) |
| Preparedness | WildfireriskmapTraining of operators and technicianson the use of fire in prevention andsuppressionDatabase of the history of wildfiresEarly detection of forest fires throughmeteorologicalradarsMapping different wildfire products inMediterranean Europe | Watershed monitoring Training and commitment of local communities and farmers Early warning systems | Terracing techniques, with the establishment of vegetation, together with a drainage system | Mass movement risk index Inventory and analysis of the existing tools for risk management | Rockfall risk map Large-Scale Rockfall Modelling |
| Response | Management system for real-time geolocation of resources available for firefighting. | | | Inventory of terraces | |
| Recovery | Forestry Management Plan | | | | |

| Strategy | Some benefits | Reference |
|---|---|---|
| Diversify plant production by alternating agricultural crops with forest crops | Resilience into agricultural systems: Greater ability to suppress pest outbreaks and to buffer crop production from the extreme events | Lin, B. B. (2011). Resilience in agriculture through crop diversification: adaptive management for environmental change. BioScience, 61(3), 183-193. |
| | To fight against soil degradation and desertification; to reduce greenhouse gas emissions | Martin-Gorriz, B., Maestre-Valero, J. F., Almagro, M., Boix-Fayos, C., & Martínez-Mena, M. (2020). Carbon emissions and economic assessment of farm operations under different tillage practices in organic rainfed almond orchards in semiarid Mediterranean conditions. Scientia Horticulturae, 261, 108978. |
| | Crop diversification is a cost-effective method to build resilience into farming systems for environment change | Alcon, F., Marín-Miñano, C., Zabala, J. A., de-Miguel, M. D., & Martínez-Paz, J. M. (2020). Valuing diversification benefits through intercropping in Mediterranean agroecosystems: A choice experiment approach. Ecological Economics, 171, 106593. |
| Improve soil fertility through green manuring, mycorrhization | To reduce losses of soil organic matter, compaction and lower soil erosion rates | Almagro, M., de Vente, J., Boix-Fayós, C., García-Franco, N., Melgares de Aguilar, J., González, D., & Martínez- Mena, M. (2016). Sustainable land management practices as providers of several ecosystem services under rainfed Mediterranean agroecosystems. <i>Mitigation and</i> <i>adaptation strategies for global</i> <i>change</i> , <i>21</i> (7), 1029-1043. |
| Marketing for products coming from the pilot areas | Producers have the opportunity to sell their own products in local markets. In Spain, an economic analysis showed that organic farming was 36% more profitable when selling products in conventional markets | Pardo, G., Perea, F., Martínez, Y., & Urbanoa, J. M. (2014). Economic profitability analysis of rainfed organic farming in SW Spain. Outlook on Agriculture, 43(2), 115-122. |
| Product certification to international standards | Due to the absence of mineral fertilizers, the carbon footprint of vegetal organic products is 16% lower compared to conventional plant products, therefore, in the European Union, the promotion of certified food products is consistent with climate change mitigation | Bellassen, V., Drut, M., Antonioli, F., Brečić, R., Donati, M., Ferrer-Pérez, H., & Diallo, A. (2021). The carbon and land footprint of certified food products. Journal of Agricultural & Food Industrial Organization, 19(2), 113-126. |

ANNEX IV – PRACTICES TO FIGHT CLIMATE CHANGE



| Strategy | Some benefits | Reference | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Modelling, quantification and monitoring of the transformative impacts caused by agricultural practices | According to a study developed in Spain, the monitoring of soil organic carbon (SOC) makes it possible to assess adaptation to climate change, as the SOC can be used as an indicator to assess regional adaptation practices | Antón, R., Arricibita, F. J., Ruiz- Sagaseta, A., Enrique, A., de Soto, I., Orcaray, L., & Virto, I. (2021). Soil organic carbon monitoring to assess agricultural climate change adaptation practices in Navarre, Spain. Regional Environmental Change, 21(3), 1-15. | |
| Guidelines for local climate planning in the Pyrenees | Identification of good practices related to the inclusion of climate change at the local level and recommendations that they help and facilitate the integration of climate change in the development and application of both regional and local policies in the Pyrenees | https://www.opcc- ctp.org/sites/default/files/documenta cion/opcc-a_prueba_de_clima- es_2.pdf | |
| Strengthening of technical skills and training in climate change | Educational activities, writing and art to teach about climate change for all ages to raise awareness of the effects of climate change Actions to encourage communities to take responsibility for climate change | https://urbanklima2050.eu/en/urban- klima-2050-educates-the-population- about-climate-change-with- educational-activities-for-all- ages/new/75/ | |
| System for monitoring the impacts of climate change | Consolidate existing and future knowledge regarding climate change and disseminate climate change information in different formats | https://urbanklima2050.eu/en/climate -change-hub-observation-and- monitoring-system/action/26/ | |
| Design of a complete hydrogen cycle production based on renewable energy (production by electrolysis of water, pressurized storage, and power production by fuel cell). | Improve energy efficiency, reduce CO ₂ emissions per year as well as NOx | http://sustainhuts.eu/project/ | |
| Protocols for tree quality classification | This protocol is a tool to quickly assess the potential of a tree at different vital stages to generate quality timber | http://www.mixforchange.eu/docs/20 22_06_Layman%20report%20MixForC hange-EN.pdf | |
| Implement Nature- Based Solutions in rivers and at the municipal level | Increase biodiversity and ecological connectivity, optimise water management, improve soil fertility, increase permeable surface, improve ecosystems services and bring nature closer to citizens | https://www.vitoria- gasteiz.org/docs/wb021/contenidosEs taticos/adjuntos/es/28/11/92811.pdf | |
| The use of cows, chickens and rabbits with controlled daily movement | The animals have a very healthy diet while improving soil biodiversity and fertility with their excrements | https://polyfarming.eu/resources/lives tock-resources/?lang=en | |

| Strategy | Some benefits | Reference |
|--|---|---|
| Experiences in organic agriculture with waste from local compost | Using olive mill waste compost with sprinkle irritation as sustainable alternative for rice crops under Mediterranean conditions, improving the soil's properties, increasing the water efficiency and reducing weed pressure | Peña, D., Fernández, D., Albarrán, A., Gómez, S., Martín, C., Sánchez-Terrón, J., & López-Piñeiro, A. (2022). Using olive mill waste compost with sprinkler irrigation as a strategy to achieve sustainable rice cropping under Mediterranean conditions. <i>Agronomy for Sustainable</i> <i>Development, 42</i> (3), 1-17. |
| | Use of agro-waste is a source of nutrients and an alternative to reduce the use of external input in intensive horticulture system | Carricondo-Martínez, I., Falcone, D., Berti, F., Orsini, F., & Salas-Sanjuan, M. D. C. (2022). Use of Agro-Waste as a Source of Crop Nutrients in Intensive Horticulture System. Agronomy, 12(2), 447. |
| Forest management for fire risk prevention | One of the strategic forest managements is the use of goats, aimed at firebreak areas, to promote grazing in the forest and control fuel biomass, which can contribute to reducing the risk of fires and, consequently, to mitigating climate change. | Pareja, J., Baraza, E., Ibáñez, M., Domenech, O., & Bartolomé, J. (2020). The role of feral goats in maintaining firebreaks by using attractants. <i>Sustainability, 12</i> (17), 7144. |
| Introduction and/or optimisation of vineyards in mountain areas | The combination of minimum tillage with plant strips in vineyard showed to be more valuable strategy in soil control than unique minimum tillage, averaging a reduction of soil erosion and runoff of 36 and 39%, respectively | Cárceles Rodríguez, B., Zuazo, V. H., Rodríguez, M. S., Ruiz, B. G., & García- Tejero, I. F. (2021). Soil Erosion and the Efficiency of the Conservation Measures in Mediterranean Hillslope Farming (SE Spain). <i>Eurasian Soil</i> <i>Science</i> , <i>54</i> (5), 792-806. |
| Pasture recovery through scrubland clearing and introduction of extensive livestock farming | Clearing is an appropriate way for spatial reorganization in marginal mountain areas and it does not require excessive investment. Furthermore, following clearing, the landscape increases in heterogeneity | Lasanta, T., Nadal-Romero, E., Errea, P., & Arnáez, J. (2016). The effect of landscape conservation measures in changing landscape patterns: a case study in Mediterranean mountains. <i>Land Degradation &</i> <i>Development, 27</i> (2), 373-386. |
| Agricultural practices: self-management of mountain orchards, biofertilizer, microorganisms, biochar, FRW technique, crops on a bed of trunks | Biochar and crops on a bed of trunks, offer the possibility of multiplying by 2 the amounts of soil organic matter and promote a carbon sequestration. In addition, the applied techniques improve the capacity of retention of rainwater in the soil | https://polyfarming.eu/objective-and- actions/?lang=em |

| Strategy | Some benefits | Reference |
|---|---|--|
| Monitoring and adaptation of forests to climate change | A wildfire monitoring system is an emergency management tool for helping the authorities reduce human and economic losses due to wildfires disasters | Bielski, C., O'Brien, V., Whitmore, C., Ylinen, K., Juga, I., Nurmi, P., & Rossi, C. (2017, December). Coupling early warning services, crowdsourcing, and modelling for improved decision support and wildfire emergency management. In <i>2017 IEEE</i> <i>International Conference on Big Data</i> <i>(Big Data)</i> (pp. 3705-3712). IEEE. |
| Promoting of mountain agriculture by means of vineyards | Monitoring network monitoring variables: physical and chemical soil properties and soil moisture, soil microbial biodiversity; vineyard production and quality; rainfall and weather simulations to analyse the effects of adaptive vineyard establishment and agronomic practices in vineyards in La Rioja and Catalonia. | https://life- midmacc.eu/2020/12/23/deliverable- 10-monitoring-protocol-of-action-c3- promotion-of-mountain-agriculture- by-means-of-vineyards/ |
| Adapt-mounted Platform | The farmer obtains a support sheet and video describing the way of implementation, the reason for its application, the contribution of the measure to adaptation to climate change or an assessment of its cost for each adaptation measure to be applied | https://sigm.lifemontadoadapt.com/ |



























INTERREG SUDOE MONTCLIMA

CONTACT

FOLLOW US

🖂 montclima@montclima.eu